Data, information, design, and traffic injuries
Some premises about design:
creating a common ground
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Some premises about information design

Defining information design (User centred design / Ethics)

Information design helps make information easier to read, to understand, to remember, and to use (things such as forms, legal documents, signs, non-prescription drugs leaflets, computer interfaces, control panels, technical information, user manuals, and operating instructions).

Information design uses evidence-based methods and strategies to make information accessible, appropriate, attractive, believable, complete, concise, relevant, timely, understandable, and usable.
Bad information design produces forms that are wrongly completed and costly to process; instructions that cause frustration and even danger; education materials that do not help learning; ambiguous scientific and technical data; websites difficult to navigate; or even control displays that do not alert operators about dangers.

Information design design does not focus on looks, but on users, tasks, contexts, and purposes. The main point is not what one has to say, but what people must understand, remember, and use.
The design process:
*Alternating design methods and research methods*

1. Contact with the client (the brief)
2. Collection of information
3. Conception of the design strategy
4. Design production
5. Evaluation of the product and the program
6. Implementation of the evaluation/Refinement
7. Implementation of the design
8. Monitoring and performance evaluation
9. Feedback
Data without context is not information

Information design can help make decisions, *but there must be a context*

1) 43,100 deaths

2) 43,100 deaths per year (2007)

Data with context becomes information

3) 43,100 deaths were caused in the USA by traffic collisions in 2007

*Is that lots, little, normal? Is it significant?*
Traffic collisions are the leading cause of death for people under 35 years of age.

Percentages of heart disease, cancer, and traffic incidents of all the death causes within each age group in the USA (WHO)
Traffic fatalities

Absolute numbers for USA, Canada and Norway

(Good information avoids deception)

Population in millions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Population in millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

USA 43,100
Canada 2,890
Norway 310
Is the USA traffic fatalities rate good or bad compared with other countries?

Data with more context creates more (accurate) information, helps value judgements and assists action planning.

43,100 deaths were caused in the USA by traffic collisions in 2007.

As a rate per population:
1.6 times that of Canada
and
2.2 times that of Norway.

Fatalities per 100,000 people:
- USA: 14.17
- Canada: 8.76
- Norway: 6.46
Highest risk group for involvement in injury collisions

This narrows the scope of audiences to address and promises a high return on investment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Subcategory</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All drivers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males 18 to 24</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All casualty collisions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males 18 to 24</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Traffic injuries are 36% of all unintentional injuries in the USA.

All unintentional injuries in the USA in 2006 amounted to:
- 27.6 million hospital emergency ward visits
- 3.0 million hospitalizations
- 120,500 deaths

Cost of all unintentional injuries in the USA:

684 Billion US$
Cost of injuries are 4.82% of the USA Gross Domestic Product (2008: 14,200.3 B)

The Health Care industry (The problems of privatization)

Can injuries be avoided?

Why is there no organized action in so many countries?

Is it because data have not become information in the public’s mind?

(Bateson defined information as “difference that makes a difference”)
Facts and the media

Media hype:
The swine flu killed less than 50 people in the USA
Traffic injuries kill 118 people every day

A feasible reduction of traffic injuries:
50% reduction in the USA =
165,000 new hospital beds and
62,000 new teachers,
improving health, health care and general education

A 50% reduction of traffic injuries
would save 123 B per year.
How to turn injury data into compelling information?

Data should be not only clear, but convincing.

Information design always has a persuasive component

The language has to be right:
tables for accountants,
prose for politicians,
charts for statisticians,
and diagrams for designers.

But this only refers to the form:
The content must be crafted
to foster the adoption of the proposed action.

Data design helps decision making processes
(to invest in an injury prevention campaign)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Summing up</strong> (at the macro scale)</th>
<th>Information design does not use universal recipes: it must consider purpose, content, context and audience.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Purpose**                       | Cognitive tasks support: to help acting on the basis of *information*  
Judgment tasks support: to help acting on the basis of *evaluation* |
| **Content**                       | Scientific, economic, academic, technical, medical, journalistic, etc. |
| **Context**                       | Macro scale: national policy, county, city, NGOs, etc  
Micro scale: professional, business, personal, etc |
| **Audience**                      | Accountants, demographers, economists, politicians, secondary school students, general public, peasants, illiterate people, diverse cultures, seniors, army officers, etc. |
The importance of contexts: Some examples
1 - Physical contexts
The importance of contexts
1 - Physical contexts
The importance of contexts
2 - Cognitive contexts
The importance of contexts
3 - Maintenance contexts
CONCLUSION - 1

Information design relates to:
1) cognitive tasks; and 2) changes in public behavior.

Facilitating cognitive tasks is more possible:

• if the audience is of a definable culture;

• if it is in their best interest to cooperate in the development of the project;

• if one has access to observe all the situations of use;

• and if one can combine structured interviews with performance tests and anthropological observation, and engage in an iterative approach to designing.
**CONCLUSION - 2**

Changes in public behavior are difficult to achieve and require that:

- The audience be significant, reachable, reactive, and measurable.

- The content be connectable to things the audience already understands;

- It must be presented in their “language,” and, where action is needed, the audience must see a personal benefit in the adoption of the action.
Data without context is not information, and the contexts at play can partly be created by the designer, but are also brought in by the audience, the objective, and the situation of use.

Design, to be truly good and effective, must be ethical; it must acknowledge and understand the users, their needs, their possibilities, and their wishes.

Only working with the people and not for the people, one can use design for its highest end: to change an existing reality into a better one.

Thank you

Jorge Frascara