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Continuous-Discrete Filtering Problem

- Estimate the unobserved **continuous-time signal** from noisy **discrete-time measurements**
Mathematical Problem Formulation

- The dynamics of state $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ modeled as a stochastic differential equation (Itô diffusion)

$$d\mathbf{x} = f(\mathbf{x}) \, dt + \mathbf{L} \, d\mathbf{\beta}(t).$$

- $\mathbf{\beta}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ is a vector of Brownian motions (Wiener processes) with diffusion matrix $\mathbf{Q}$ and dimension $s \leq n$.

- Measurements $\mathbf{y}_k \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are obtained at discrete times

$$\mathbf{y}_k \sim p(\mathbf{y}_k \mid \mathbf{x}(t_k)).$$

- Formal solution: Compute the posterior distribution(s)

$$p(\mathbf{x}(t) \mid \mathbf{y}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_k), \quad t \geq t_k.$$
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Formal solution

Optimal filter

1 Prediction step: Solve the Kolmogorov-forward (Fokker-Planck) partial differential equation.

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -\sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (f_i(x) \ p) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \left( [LQL^T]_{ij} \ p \right)
\]

2 Update step: Apply the Bayes’ rule.

\[
p(x(t_k) \mid y_{1:k}) = \frac{p(y_k \mid x(t_k)) p(x(t_k) \mid y_{1:k-1})}{\int p(y_k \mid x(t_k)) p(x(t_k) \mid y_{1:k-1}) \ dx(t_k)}
\]
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**Formal solution**

---

**Optimal filter**

1. **Prediction step**: Solve the Kolmogorov-forward (Fokker-Planck) partial differential equation.

   \[
   \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( f_i(x) p \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \left( [LQLT]_{ij} p \right)
   \]

2. **Update step**: Apply the Bayes’ rule.

   \[
   p(x(t_k) \mid y_{1:k}) = \frac{p(y_k \mid x(t_k)) p(x(t_k) \mid y_{1:k-1})}{\int p(y_k \mid x(t_k)) p(x(t_k) \mid y_{1:k-1}) \, dx(t_k)}
   \]
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Sigma Point and Particle Approximations of SDEs
Common types of probability density approximations:

- **Gaussian approximations:** Taylor series, statistical linearization, unscented transform (UT).
- **Parametric PDF models:** assumed density, mixture models, variational approximations.
- **Monte Carlo approximations:** perfect Monte Carlo sampling, importance sampling, Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC).

Here we shall concentrate on the following methods:

- Continuous-discrete extended Kalman filter (EKF), which is a Taylor/Gaussian approximation based method.
- Continuous-discrete unscented Kalman filter (UKF), which is a UT/Gaussian approximation based method.
- Continuous-discrete sequential importance resampling (SIR), which is an importance sampling based Monte Carlo method.
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Consider transformation of Gaussian random variable by non-linear function $g(\cdot)$:

$x \sim N(m, P)$

$y = g(x)$

The function can be approximated by Taylor series:

$g(m + \Delta x) = g(m) + G(m) \Delta x + \ldots$

where $G(\cdot)$ is the Jacobian of $g(\cdot)$.

We get the following Gaussian approximation to the distribution of the random variable $y$:

$y \sim N \left( g(m), G(m) P G^T(m) \right)$
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Consider \textbf{transformation of Gaussian random variable} by non-linear function \( g(\cdot) \):

\[
\begin{align*}
x & \sim N(m, P) \\
y & = g(x)
\end{align*}
\]

The function can be approximated by \textbf{Taylor series}:

\[
g(m + \Delta x) = g(m) + G(m) \Delta x + \ldots
\]

where \( G(\cdot) \) is the Jacobian of \( g(\cdot) \).

We get the following \textbf{Gaussian approximation} to the distribution of the random variable \( y \):

\[
y \sim N \left( g(m), G(m) P G^T(m) \right)
\]
Extended Kalman Filter (EKF)

- EKF applies the Taylor series approximation to the filtering model

\[
x_k = f(x_{k-1}) + q, \quad q \sim N(0, Q)
\]
\[
y_k = h(x_k) + r, \quad r \sim N(0, R)
\]

- The resulting EKF equations are of the form:

  **Prediction:**

  \[
  m_k^- = f(m_{k-1})
  \]
  \[
  P_k^- = F(m_{k-1}) P_{k-1} F^T(m_{k-1}) + Q.
  \]

  **Update:**

  \[
  S_k = H(m_k^-) P_k^- H^T(m_k^-) + R
  \]
  \[
  K_k = P_k^- H^T(m_k^-) S_k^{-1}
  \]
  \[
  m_k = m_k^- + K_k [y_k - h(m_k^-)]
  \]
  \[
  P_k = P_k^- - K_k S_k K_k^T.
  \]
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Continuous-Discrete EKF [1/2]

In continuous-discrete filtering, the dynamic model is a stochastic differential equation (SDE):

\[ dx = f(x) \, dt + L \, d\beta \]

Taking first order discrete-time approximation, we get (note that the SDE can be interpreted as a Stratonovich equation):

\[ x_k = x_{k-1} + f(x_{k-1}) \, \delta t + q, \quad q \sim N(0, LQL^T \, \delta t) \]

Continuous solution on interval \([0, T]\) (between measurements) can be approximated by iterating the discrete approximation over the interval in steps of \(\delta t\).
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Continuous-Discrete EKF [2/2]

- The EKF prediction equations up to first order in $\delta t$:

  \[
  m_k = m_{k-1} + f(m_{k-1}) \delta t \\
  P_k = P_{k-1} + F(m_{k-1}) P_{k-1} \delta t + P_{k-1} F^T(m_{k-1}) \delta t + L Q L^T \delta t
  \]

- Dividing by $\delta t$ and by taking limit $\delta t \to 0$, we get

  \[
  \frac{dm}{dt} = f(m) \\
  \frac{dP}{dt} = F(m) P + P F^T(m) + L Q L^T
  \]

- These differential equations are satisfied between measurements.
- At measurements we use discrete-time EKF update equations.
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The unscented transform also considers transformations as

\[\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{P})\]
\[\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})\]

Instead of the Taylor series, a set of sigma points are computed as the columns of the Cholesky factorization of \(\mathbf{P}\):

\[\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = \mathbf{m}\]
\[\mathbf{x}^{(i)} = \mathbf{m} + c \left[ \sqrt{\mathbf{P}} \right]_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n\]
\[\mathbf{x}^{(i)} = \mathbf{m} - c \left[ \sqrt{\mathbf{P}} \right]_i, \quad i = n + 1, \ldots, 2n\]
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The **sigma points** are then propagated through the function $g(\cdot)$:

$$y^{(i)} = g(x^{(i)}), \quad i = 0, \ldots, 2n.$$  

The mean and covariance of $y$ are approximated as linear combinations of the resulting points:

$$\mu \approx \sum_{i=0}^{2n} W_i^{(m)} y^{(i)}$$  

$$S \approx \sum_{i=0}^{2n} W_i^{(c)} (y^{(i)} - \mu) (y^{(i)} - \mu)^T.$$  

The sigma points are chosen deterministically and the weights are fixed and thus this is not a Monte Carlo approach.
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Unscented Transform (UT) [2/2]

- The **sigma points** are then propagated through the function $\mathbf{g}(\cdot)$:
  \[
  \mathbf{y}^{(i)} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}), \quad i = 0, \ldots, 2n.
  \]

- The **mean and covariance** of $\mathbf{y}$ are approximated as **linear combinations** of the resulting points:
  \[
  \begin{align*}
  \mu & \approx \sum_{i=0}^{2n} W_{i}^{(m)} \mathbf{y}^{(i)} \\
  \mathbf{S} & \approx \sum_{i=0}^{2n} W_{i}^{(c)} (\mathbf{y}^{(i)} - \mu) (\mathbf{y}^{(i)} - \mu)^T.
  \end{align*}
  \]

- The sigma points are chosen deterministically and the **weights** are fixed and thus this is **not a Monte Carlo approach**.
Unscented Kalman Filter

The unscented Kalman filter (UKF) is almost like an EKF, but uses unscented transforms instead of Taylor series expansions.

The prediction and update equations are messy, but the idea is the following:

**Prediction step:**
- Form sigma points of the state $x_{k-1}$.
- Propagate them through the dynamic model function.
- Compute the resulting mean and covariance.
- Add the process noise covariance to state covariance.

**Update step:**
- Form sigma points of the predicted state.
- Form UT approximation of the joint distribution of predicted state and measurement.
- Use computation rules of Gaussian distributions for conditioning the joint distribution to the measurement $y_k$. 
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The prediction and update equations are messy, but the idea is the following:

**Prediction step:**
1. Form sigma points of the state $x_{k-1}$
2. Propagate them through the dynamic model function
3. Compute the resulting mean and covariance
4. Add the process noise covariance to state covariance

**Update step:**
- Form sigma points of the predicted state
- Form UT approximation of the joint distribution of predicted state and measurement
- Use computation rules of Gaussian distributions for conditioning the joint distribution to the measurement $y_k$
Unscented Kalman Filter

- The unscented Kalman filter (UKF) is almost like an EKF, but uses unscented transforms instead of Taylor series expansions.
- The prediction and update equations are messy, but the idea is the following:

  **Prediction step:**
  1. Form sigma points of the state $x_{k-1}$
  2. Propagate them through the dynamic model function
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  3. Use computation rules of Gaussian distributions for conditioning the joint distribution to the measurement $y_k$
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The unscented Kalman filter (UKF) is almost like an EKF, but uses unscented transforms instead of Taylor series expansions.

The prediction and update equations are messy, but the idea is the following:

**Prediction step:**
1. Form sigma points of the state $\mathbf{x}_{k-1}$
2. Propagate them through the dynamic model function
3. Compute the resulting mean and covariance
4. Add the process noise covariance to state covariance

**Update step:**
1. Form sigma points of the predicted state
2. Form UT approximation of the joint distribution of predicted state and measurement
3. Use computation rules of Gaussian distributions for conditioning the joint distribution to the measurement $\mathbf{y}_k$
Matrix Form of Unscented Transform

- Define matrices of sigma points as

\[
X = \begin{bmatrix}
  x^{(0)} & \ldots & x^{(2n)} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
Y = \begin{bmatrix}
  y^{(0)} & \ldots & y^{(2n)} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

- Propagation of sigma points can be written as matrix operation

\[
Y = g(X)
\]

- The mean and covariance computation equations can be written as matrix expressions

\[
\mu \approx Y w_m
\]

\[
S \approx Y W Y^T
\]

where \( w_m \) and \( W \) are constant vector and matrix.
Matrix Form of Unscented Transform

- Define matrices of sigma points as
  \[
  \begin{align*}
  X &= \begin{bmatrix} x^{(0)} & \cdots & x^{(2n)} \end{bmatrix} \\
  Y &= \begin{bmatrix} y^{(0)} & \cdots & y^{(2n)} \end{bmatrix}
  \end{align*}
  \]

- Propagation of sigma points can be written as matrix operation
  \[
  Y = g(X)
  \]

- The mean and covariance computation equations can be written as matrix expressions
  \[
  \mu \approx Y w_m \\
  \Sigma \approx Y W Y^T
  \]

where \( w_m \) and \( W \) are constant vector and matrix.
Matrix Form of Unscented Transform

- Define matrices of sigma points as
  \[ X = \begin{bmatrix} x^{(0)} & \ldots & x^{(2n)} \end{bmatrix} \]
  \[ Y = \begin{bmatrix} y^{(0)} & \ldots & y^{(2n)} \end{bmatrix} \]

- Propagation of sigma points can be written as matrix operation
  \[ Y = g(X) \]

- The mean and covariance computation equations can be written as matrix expressions
  \[ \mu \approx Y w_m \]
  \[ S \approx Y W Y^T \]

where \( w_m \) and \( W \) are constant vector and matrix.
Unscented Kalman filter can be written in matrix form:

**Prediction:**

\[ X_{k-1} = \begin{bmatrix} m_{k-1} & \cdots & m_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{P_{k-1}} & -\sqrt{P_{k-1}} \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ m_k^- = f(X_{k-1}) w_m \]

\[ P_k^- = f(X_{k-1}) W f^T(X_{k-1}) + Q. \]

**Update:**

\[ X_k^- = \begin{bmatrix} m_k^- & \cdots & m_k^- \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{P_k^-} & -\sqrt{P_k^-} \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ S_k = h(X_k^-) W h^T(X_k^-) + R \]

\[ K_k = X_k^- W h^T(X_k^-) S_k^{-1} \]

\[ m_k = m_k^- + K_k \left[ y_k - h(X_k^-) w_m \right] \]

\[ P_k = P_k^- - K_k S_k K_k^T. \]
Unscented Kalman filter can be written in matrix form:

**Prediction:**

\[
X_{k-1} = [m_{k-1} \cdots m_{k-1}] + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{P_{k-1}} & -\sqrt{P_{k-1}} \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[m_k^- = f(X_{k-1}) w_m\]

\[P_k^- = f(X_{k-1}) W f^T(X_{k-1}) + Q.\]

**Update:**

\[
X_k^- = [m_k^- \cdots m_k^-] + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{P_k^-} & -\sqrt{P_k^-} \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[S_k = h(X_k^-) W h^T(X_k^-) + R\]

\[K_k = X_k^- W h^T(X_k^-) S_k^{-1}\]

\[m_k = m_k^- + K_k [y_k - h(X_k^-) w_m]\]

\[P_k = P_k^- - K_k S_k K_k^T.\]
Unscented Kalman filter can be written in matrix form:

**Prediction:**

\[
X_{k-1} = \begin{bmatrix} m_{k-1} & \cdots & m_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{P_{k-1}} & -\sqrt{P_{k-1}} \end{bmatrix} \\
n_{k-1} = f(X_{k-1}) \cdot w_m \\
P_{k-1} = f(X_{k-1}) \cdot W \cdot f^T(X_{k-1}) + Q.
\]

**Update:**

\[
X_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} m_{k} & \cdots & m_{k} \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{P_{k}} & -\sqrt{P_{k}} \end{bmatrix} \\
S_k = h(X_k^{-}) W h^T(X_k^{-}) + R \\
K_k = X_k^{-} W h^T(X_k^{-}) S_k^{-1} \\
m_k = m_k^{-} + K_k \left[ y_k - h(X_k^{-}) \cdot w_m \right] \\
P_k = P_k^{-} - K_k S_k K_k^T.
\]
Continuous-Discrete UKF [1/2]

- Taking the continuous-time limit of the prediction step leads to the equations:

\[
X = \begin{bmatrix} m & \cdots & m \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{P} & -\sqrt{P} \end{bmatrix} \\
\frac{dm}{dt} = f(X) w_m \\
\frac{dP}{dt} = X W f^T(X) + f(X) W X^T + L Q L^T
\]

- In continuous-discrete UKF the above differential equations are used between the measurements.
- The discrete-time UKF update equations are used at measurements times.
- The matrix UT computations can be replaced with corresponding summation formulas, which are computationally lighter.
Taking the continuous-time limit of the prediction step leads to the equations:
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\begin{align*}
X &= \begin{bmatrix} m & \cdots & m \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{P} & -\sqrt{P} \end{bmatrix} \\
\frac{d}{dt}m &= f(X) w_m \\
\frac{d}{dt}P &= X W f^T(X) + f(X) W X^T + L Q L^T
\end{align*}
\]

In continuous-discrete UKF the above differential equations are used between the measurements.

The discrete-time UKF update equations are used at measurements times.

The matrix UT computations can be replaced with corresponding summation formulas, which are computationally lighter.
Taking the **continuous-time limit** of the prediction step leads to the equations:

\[
X = \begin{bmatrix} m & \cdots & m \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{P} & -\sqrt{P} \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\frac{dm}{dt} = f(X) w_m
\]

\[
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Taking the **continuous-time limit** of the prediction step leads to the equations:

\[
X = \begin{bmatrix} m & \cdots & m \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{P} & -\sqrt{P} \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\frac{dm}{dt} = f(X)w_m
\]

\[
\frac{dP}{dt} = XWf^T(X) + f(X)WX^T + LQL^T
\]

In continuous-discrete UKF the above differential equations are used **between the measurements**.

The **discrete-time UKF update equations** are used at measurements times.

The matrix UT computations can be replaced with corresponding summation formulas, which are computationally lighter.
The continuous UKF prediction equations can be written in terms of sigma points as

\[
M = A^{-1} (X W f^T(X) + f(X) W X^T + L L^T) A^{-T}
\]

\[
\frac{dX_i}{dt} = f(X, t) w_m + c \left[ 0 \ A \Phi(M) \ -A \Phi(M) \right]_i
\]

The matrix $A$ is the Cholesky factor of $P$, which can be found by collecting suitable terms from $X$ and by subtracting the mean.

$\Phi(\cdot)$ is a function returning the lower diagonal part of the argument as follows:

\[
\Phi_{ij}(M) = \begin{cases} 
M_{ij}, & \text{if } i > j \\
\frac{1}{2}M_{ij}, & \text{if } i = j \\
0, & \text{if } i < j.
\end{cases}
\]
The continuous UKF prediction equations can be written in terms of sigma points as

\[
M = A^{-1} [X W f^T(X) + f(X) W X^T + L Q L^T] A^{-T}
\]

\[
\frac{dX_i}{dt} = f(X, t) w_m + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \Phi(M) & -A \Phi(M) \end{bmatrix}_i
\]

The matrix \( A \) is the Cholesky factor of \( P \), which can be found by collecting suitable terms from \( X \) and by subtracting the mean.

\( \Phi(\cdot) \) is a function returning the lower diagonal part of the argument as follows:

\[
\Phi_{ij}(M) = \begin{cases} M_{ij} & \text{if } i > j \\ \frac{1}{2} M_{ij} & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i < j. \end{cases}
\]
Continuous-Discrete UKF [2/2]

- The continuous UKF prediction equations can be written in terms of sigma points as

\[ M = A^{-1} [X W f^T(X) + f(X) W X^T + L Q L^T] A^{-T} \]

\[ \frac{dX_i}{dt} = f(X, t) w_m + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \Phi(M) & -A \Phi(M) \end{bmatrix}_i \]

- The matrix \( A \) is the Cholesky factor of \( P \), which can be found by collecting suitable terms from \( X \) and by subtracting the mean.

- \( \Phi(\cdot) \) is a function returning the lower diagonal part of the argument as follows:

\[ \Phi_{ij}(M) = \begin{cases} M_{ij}, & \text{if } i > j \\ \frac{1}{2} M_{ij}, & \text{if } i = j \\ 0, & \text{if } i < j. \end{cases} \]
Problem Formulation
Continuous-Discrete EKF and UKF
Continuous-Discrete SIR
Discussion and Summary

Particle Filtering

- Filtering model:

  \[ x(t_k) \sim p(x(t_k) \mid x(t_{k-1})) \]
  \[ y_k \sim p(y_k \mid x(t_k)) \]

- Filtering distribution is represented as weighted set of Monte Carlo samples \( \{x^{(i)}, w^{(i)}\} \) drawn from the distribution.

- Formally, the probability density is approximated as weighted sum of Dirac’s delta functions:

  \[ p(x) \approx \sum_i w^{(i)} \delta(x - x^{(i)}). \]

- The most common particle filtering method framework is sequential importance resampling (SIR). (or sampling/resampling SISR)
Particle Filtering

Filtering model:

\[ x(t_k) \sim p(x(t_k) | x(t_{k-1})) \]
\[ y_k \sim p(y_k | x(t_k)) \]

Filtering distribution is represented as weighted set of Monte Carlo samples \( \{x^{(i)}, w^{(i)}\} \) drawn from the distribution.

Formally, the probability density is approximated as weighted sum of Dirac’s delta functions:

\[ p(x) \approx \sum_{i} w^{(i)} \delta(x - x^{(i)}). \]

The most common particle filtering method framework is sequential importance resampling (SIR). (or sampling/resampling SISR)
Particle Filtering

Filtering model:

\[ x(t_k) \sim p(x(t_k) | x(t_{k-1})) \]
\[ y_k \sim p(y_k | x(t_k)) \]

Filtering distribution is represented as weighted set of Monte Carlo samples \{x^{(i)}, w^{(i)}\} drawn from the distribution.

Formally, the probability density is approximated as weighted sum of Dirac’s delta functions:

\[ p(x) \approx \sum_i w^{(i)} \delta(x - x^{(i)}). \]

The most common particle filtering method framework is sequential importance resampling (SIR). (or sampling/resampling SISR)
Particle Filtering

- Filtering model:

\[ x(t_k) \sim p(x(t_k) \mid x(t_{k-1})) \]
\[ y_k \sim p(y_k \mid x(t_k)) \]

- Filtering distribution is represented as weighted set of Monte Carlo samples \( \{x^{(i)}, w^{(i)}\} \) drawn from the distribution.

- Formally, the probability density is approximated as weighted sum of Dirac’s delta functions:

\[ p(x) \approx \sum_i w^{(i)} \delta(x - x^{(i)}). \]

- The most common particle filtering method framework is sequential importance resampling (SIR). (or sampling/resampling SISR)
Sequential Importance Resampling

1. Draw a random sample from the importance distribution

\[ x^{(i)}(t_k) \sim q(x^{(i)}(t_k) \mid x^{(i)}(t_{k-1})) \]

2. Evaluate the importance weight

\[ w^{(i)}_k \propto \frac{p(y_k \mid x^{(i)}(t_k)) p(x^{(i)}(t_k) \mid x^{(i)}(t_{k-1}))}{q(x^{(i)}(t_k) \mid x^{(i)}(t_{k-1}))} \]

3. Do resampling if needed.
Sequential Importance Resampling

1. Draw a random sample from the importance distribution

\[ x^{(i)}(t_k) \sim q(x^{(i)}(t_k) \mid x^{(i)}(t_{k-1})) \]

2. Evaluate the importance weight

\[ w_k^{(i)} \propto \frac{p(y_k \mid x^{(i)}(t_k)) p(x^{(i)}(t_k) \mid x^{(i)}(t_{k-1}))}{q(x^{(i)}(t_k) \mid x^{(i)}(t_{k-1}))} \]

3. Do resampling if needed.
Sequential Importance Resampling

1. Draw a random sample from the importance distribution

\[ x^{(i)}(t_k) \sim q(x^{(i)}(t_k) | x^{(i)}(t_{k-1})) \]

2. Evaluate the importance weight

\[ w_k^{(i)} \propto \frac{p(y_k | x^{(i)}(t_k)) p(x^{(i)}(t_k) | x^{(i)}(t_{k-1}))}{q(x^{(i)}(t_k) | x^{(i)}(t_{k-1}))} \]

3. Do resampling if needed.
The Problem of SIR Weight Evaluation

- The weight evaluation of SIR is of the form

\[ w_k^{(i)} \propto \frac{p(y_k | x^{(i)}(t_k)) p(x^{(i)}(t_k) | x^{(i)}(t_{k-1}))}{q(x^{(i)}(t_k) | x^{(i)}(t_{k-1}))} \]

- But \( p(x(t_k) | x(t_{k-1})) \) is the solution of the Kolmogorov forward PDE and cannot be solved in general.

- Actually we only need the likelihood ratio

\[ \frac{p(x(t_k) | x(t_{k-1}))}{q(x(t_k) | x(t_{k-1}))} \]

- This can be computed with the Girsanov theorem without solving the PDE.
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The Problem of SIR Weight Evaluation

- The weight evaluation of SIR is of the form

\[ w_k^{(i)} \propto \frac{p(y_k | x^{(i)}(t_k)) p(x^{(i)}(t_k) | x^{(i)}(t_{k-1}))}{q(x^{(i)}(t_k) | x^{(i)}(t_{k-1}))} \]

- But \( p(x(t_k) | x(t_{k-1})) \) is the solution of the Kolmogorov forward PDE and cannot be solved in general.

- Actually we only need the likelihood ratio

\[ \frac{p(x(t_k) | x(t_{k-1}))}{q(x(t_k) | x(t_{k-1}))} \]

- This can be computed with the Girsanov theorem without solving the PDE.
The Problem of SIR Weight Evaluation

• The weight evaluation of SIR is of the form

\[
W^{(i)}_k \propto \frac{p(y_k \mid x^{(i)}(t_k)) p(x^{(i)}(t_k) \mid x^{(i)}(t_k-1))}{q(x^{(i)}(t_k) \mid x^{(i)}(t_k-1))}
\]

• But \(p(x(t_k) \mid x(t_{k-1}))\) is the solution of the Kolmogorov forward PDE and cannot be solved in general.

• Actually we only need the likelihood ratio

\[
\frac{p(x(t_k) \mid x(t_{k-1}))}{q(x(t_k) \mid x(t_{k-1}))}
\]

• This can be computed with the Girsanov theorem without solving the PDE.
Let $\theta(t)$ be a stochastic process, which is driven by (“adapted to”) a Brownian motion $\beta(t)$.

The likelihood ratio between $\theta(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ is:

$$
\frac{dP_{\theta}}{dP_{\beta}} = \exp \left( \int_0^t \theta^T(t) \, d\beta(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|	heta(t)\|^2 \, dt \right).
$$

The likelihood ratio can be exactly computed by above stochastic integral.

Efficient simulation based numerical solutions possible.
Girsanov Theorem

- Let $\theta(t)$ be a stochastic process, which is driven by ("adapted to") a Brownian motion $\beta(t)$.
- The likelihood ratio between $\theta(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ is:

$$
\frac{dP_\theta}{dP_\beta} = \exp \left( \int_0^t \theta^T(t) \, d\beta(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\theta(t)\|^2 \, dt \right).
$$

- The likelihood ratio can be exactly computed by above stochastic integral.
- Efficient simulation based numerical solutions possible.
Girsanov Theorem

- Let \( \theta(t) \) be a stochastic process, which is driven by (“adapted to”) a Brownian motion \( \beta(t) \).
- The likelihood ratio between \( \theta(t) \) and \( \beta(t) \) is:

\[
\frac{dP_{\theta}}{dP_{\beta}} = \exp \left( \int_0^t \theta^T(t) d\beta(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\theta(t)\|^2 dt \right).
\]

- The likelihood ratio can be exactly computed by above stochastic integral.
- Efficient simulation based numerical solutions possible.
Girsanov Theorem

- Let $\theta(t)$ be a stochastic process, which is driven by (“adapted to”) a Brownian motion $\beta(t)$.
- The likelihood ratio between $\theta(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ is:

$$\frac{dP_\theta}{dP_\beta} = \exp \left( \int_0^t \theta^T(t) \, d\beta(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\theta(t)\|^2 \, dt \right).$$

- The likelihood ratio can be exactly computed by above stochastic integral.
- Efficient simulation based numerical solutions possible.
Evaluating the Likelihood Ratio

With Girsanov theorem, we can derive expression for likelihood ratio for two SDE’s:

\[
dx = f(x) \, dt + L \, d\beta \\
\]
\[
ds = g(s) \, dt + L \, d\beta.
\]

- Process \( s(t) \) can be the importance process for estimated process \( x(t) \).
- It is a stochastic integral: Well known numerical methods for SDE’s can be used.
- It is a Monte Carlo solution: Solution converges to the exact solution.
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Evaluating the Likelihood Ratio

- With Girsanov theorem, we can derive expression for likelihood ratio for two SDE’s:

\[
\begin{align*}
    dx &= f(x) \, dt + L \, d\beta \\
    ds &= g(s) \, dt + L \, d\beta.
\end{align*}
\]

- Process \( s(t) \) can be the importance process for estimated process \( x(t) \).

- It is a *stochastic integral*: Well known numerical methods for SDE’s can be used.

- It is a *Monte Carlo solution*: Solution converges to the exact solution.
Evaluating the Likelihood Ratio

With Girsanov theorem, we can derive expression for likelihood ratio for two SDE’s:

\[ dx = f(x) \, dt + L \, d\beta \]
\[ ds = g(s) \, dt + L \, d\beta.\]

- Process \( s(t) \) can be the importance process for estimated process \( x(t) \).
- It is a stochastic integral: Well known numerical methods for SDE’s can be used.
- It is a Monte Carlo solution: Solution converges to the exact solution.
In mathematical analysis of SDEs it is often assumed that in the model

\[ dx = f(x) \, dt + L \, d\beta \]

matrix \( L \) is square, invertible or even scalar.

This assumption eases the mathematical analysis, and is a feasible assumption, for example, in models of stock prices.

But in physics based models, \( L \) is almost never invertible.

In this case the noise term \( L \, d\beta \) is singular in the sense that its diffusion matrix \( LQL^T \) is singular.
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In mathematical analysis of SDEs it is often assumed that in the model

\[ dx = f(x) \, dt + L \, d\beta \]

matrix \( L \) is square, invertible or even scalar.

This assumption eases the mathematical analysis, and is a feasible assumption, for example, in models of stock prices.

But in physics based models, \( L \) is almost never invertible.

In this case the noise term \( L \, d\beta \) is singular in the sense that its diffusion matrix \( LQL^T \) is singular.
Non-invertibility of Diffusion Matrix [2/3]

For example, the Newton’s law with white noise force:

$$\frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} = w(t)$$

The model is equivalent to SDE

$$d\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} dt + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} d\beta$$

In this model $L$ is not square and $LL^T$ is not invertible.

The same problem always arises, when some state component is continuously differentiable with respect to time (almost always in physics).
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Sometimes, the dynamic model is conditionally linear Gaussian as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{F}(s) \mathbf{x} \, \mathrm{d}t + \mathbf{L} \, \mathrm{d}\beta \\
\mathrm{d} \mathbf{s} &= \mathbf{g}(s) \, \mathrm{d}t + \mathbf{B} \, \mathrm{d}\eta
\end{align*}
\]

Given the process \(s\) the process \(x\) is a Gaussian process.

The Brownian motion in the first equation can be now marginalized out (Rao-Blackwellized), which leads to the model

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathbf{m}}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \mathbf{F}(s) \mathbf{m} \\
\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathbf{P}}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \mathbf{F}(s) \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P} \mathbf{F}^T(s) + \mathbf{L} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{L}^T \\
\mathrm{d} \mathbf{s} &= \mathbf{g}(s) \, \mathrm{d}t + \mathbf{B} \, \mathrm{d}\eta
\end{align*}
\]
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If the measurement model is also suitably conditionally linear Gaussian, we may apply the Kalman filter update equations on the measurement step. This leads to a Rao-Blackwellized particle filtering algorithm, where part of the state components are replaced with their sufficient statistics. Static parameters in dynamic or measurements models can be sometimes handled in a similar manner.
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Toy Example: Noisy Simple Pendulum Problem

- Model of noisy simple pendulum:

\[
\frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} + a^2 \sin(x) = w(t).
\]

- In Brownian motion notation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx_1}{dt} &= x_2 \\
\frac{dx_2}{dt} &= -a^2 \sin(x_1) dt + d\beta,
\end{align*}
\]

- Measurements:

\[
\begin{align*}
y_k &\sim N(x_1(t_k), \sigma^2) \\
\sigma^2 &\sim \text{Inv-}\chi^2(\nu_0, \sigma_0^2),
\end{align*}
\]
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- **Online paper formation estimation**
  - Paper web dynamics are modeled with stochastic differential equations.
  - The sensor is moving and thus only a small part of the sheet is measured at a time.
  - Rao-Blackwellization can be typically applied, and often the process is even linear.

- **Monitoring of chemical processes**
  - Reaction kinetics are modeled with stochastic differential equations.
  - The measurements can be highly non-linear functions of the state.
  - Processes typically contain unknown physical parameters.
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- **Continuous-discrete EKF:**
  - Taylor series based Gaussian approximation to the SDE.
  - Mean and covariance differential equations on prediction step.

- **Continuous-discrete UKF:**
  - Unscented transform instead of the Taylor series.
  - Mean and covariance differential equations on prediction step.
  - Alternatively, differential equation for the sigma-points.

- **The Girsanov theorem:**
  - Can be used for evaluating likelihood ratios of SDEs in sequential importance sampling.
  - Non-invertible diffusion matrices need special care.
  - Conditionally linear Gaussian processes can be marginalized out, which leads to Rao-Blackwellized filters.

- The methods have applications in many areas, for example, in navigation, paper industry and chemical industry.
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