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Reproducibility in Science

- Advances in science are heavily based on the premise of the concept of a trusted discovery
  - provided that the preformed research is done correctly, and
  - the research outputs can be reproducible by other scientists
- Reproducing of scientific experiment
  - one of the most important approaches that scientists use to gain confidence in their conclusions [Peng, 2011]
- Providing means for reproducible research
  - Defining minimal information about a performed experiment and the produced research outputs
  - Creating, storing and curating meta data about performed experiments and the research outputs
  - Enable search on collections on performed experiments and research outputs

Reproducibility in Artificial Intelligence (AI)

- In computer science large number of experiments are fully conducted on computers
  - Makes the experiments more straightforward to document [Braun and Ong, 2014]
  - Most AI and machine learning research falls under this category
- AI must rely on reproducible experiments to validate results
- Reproducibility in AI is not easily accomplished [Gundersen et al., 2018]
  - AI publications fall short of providing enough documentation to facilitate reproducibility
  - Best practices for documenting research needs to be developed: Data, Source code, AI methods, Experiments

Reusable research outputs and FAIR

- Reusability of research outputs, such as data and produced models
  - very important aspect in performing scientific work
  - decreases duplication of efforts and ineffective use of resources
- Group of life scientists have proposed a set of guiding FAIR Data Principles [Wilkinson, 2016]
  - Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable (FAIR).
- Reusability of research data as one of the priorities of EC
  - endorsement by the Directorate General for Research and Innovation of the EC
  - rapid endorsement G20 forum participants
- The main point of FAIR is to ensure that research objects are reusable and thus becoming more valuable.


FAIR data principles

Findable:
- Easy to identify and find for both humans and computers
- Provide metadata that facilitate searching for specific research objects

Accessible:
- stored so that they can easily be accessed and/or downloaded
- well-defined access conditions at the level of metadata and level of the actual data

Interoperable:
- Ready to be combined with other research objects by humans or computers
- No ambiguities in the meanings of terms and values

Reusable:
- Ready to be used for future research and to be further processed using computational methods
- Information about how the objects were obtained and processed and an appropriate license (provenance)
Adding semantics to research outputs: 
Ontologies

- The research outputs that wish to fulfil the FAIR Data principles must be represented with a wide accepted machine-readable framework [Mons, 2017]
- Provide additional meaning or “semantics” to the data and knowledge contained in the research outputs.
- Allow computers to automatically interpret the represented information
  - Annotating this information with ontologies (generating meta-data) and creating a knowledge base
  - Ontologies are expressed in well-defined logical formalism (e.g., description logic)
  - The logical approach enables reasoners to perform automatic inference on top of the knowledge base

Mons B et al. (2017) Cloudy, increasingly FAIR; revisiting the FAIR Data guiding principles for the European Open Science Cloud. Inf Serv Use. 37: 49–56.
Semantic web technologies

- Semantic web technologies and formal ontologies
  - Currently a popular solution to data and knowledge sharing
  - Are in line also with the requirements of FAIR
- Set of technologies to support the knowledge-sharing process
  - Resource Description Framework (RDF) format: a simple data model that handles the representation of given facts
  - RDF Schema (RDFS) provides the basic constructs for expressing knowledge
  - Ontology Web Language (OWL) that provides semantic interpretation to RDF facts.
- Use of reasoners: inference of additional RDF statements from those given explicitly
- Querying: SPARQL query language
  - Enables us to retrieve explicit facts from the RDF datasets
  - The RDF datasets are usually stored in so-called RDF stores
  - SPARQL cannot perform any inference tasks by itself: it must interact with a reasoning component
Complex data analysis

- Complex data analysis methods
  - Originating from machine learning (ML) and data mining (DM)
  - Increasingly being used in applications from various domains of science, such as medicine, biology and ecology

- Examples of tasks in medicine, solved by using data analytics methods, include biomarker discovery, discovery of biological disease signatures, etc.

- All domain tasks can be mapped to a ML/DM task and adequate methods can be used to solve the task
  - Example: The task of biomarker discovery can be mapped to the task of feature ranking
Example task: Structured output prediction

- primitive output prediction task (classification and regression)
  - goal is to predict a single primitive value (numeric or discrete)
- structured output prediction task (SOP)
  - goal is to predict a structured object
- There is a significant body of research on specific SOP tasks that differ in terms of
  - the type of the structured output (tuple, sequence, set, tree, graph),
  - data availability (complete, partial), and
  - how the data examples arrive (offline or online setting)
- Examples of specific SOP tasks include: multi-label classification, hierarchical multi-label classification, multi-target prediction, time-series prediction and others.
How can we improve the reproducibility and reusability in complex data analysis? (1)

- Formally represent all the entities involved in the process of data analysis
  - Entities: datasets, algorithms, possible experimental scenarios, materialized experiments, experimental results, produced models etc.
- Efforts to identify and formally represent the knowledge about the process of data analysis and its core entities
  - State-of-the-art ontologies and vocabularies: OntoDM-core, OntoDT, OntoDM-KDD, DMOP, Exposé, KDDOnto, MEX, ML-schema and others
- Annotate all the participants in the data analysis process
- Store the annotations as digital objects in a database like structure
- Provide semantic querying on top of the created database
How can we improve the reproducibility and reusability in complex data analysis? (2)

- Experiment databases
  - “databases specifically designed to collect and organize all the details of large numbers of past experiments, performed by many different researchers, and make them immediately available to everyone” [Vanschoren, 2012].

- The experiment database stores all the details of the experimental procedures
  - It moving towards the scientific goal of a truly reproducible research.
  - Example: OpenML is web-based platform based on relational database that stores information about datasets, tasks, experimental settings and the experimental results
  - The database can be accessed with REST API or by writing direct queries using SQL query language.

- The experiments performed by running algorithms on sets of data represent a main source of objective information on how the algorithms behave [Vanschoren, 2014]
  - This is the base of meta-learning studies on different tasks.

- Experiment databases allows for previous experiments to be readily reused in further studies

How can we improve the reproducibility and reusability in complex data analysis? (3)

- Inductive databases [Džeroski et al, 2010] is also highly relevant in the context of reusable research
  - it deals with storing and querying models and not only data
- Inductive databases regard patterns and models, generated from data, as “first class citizens”, having the same status as the data
- This concept was first proposed by Imielinski and Mannila [1996]
  - with a viewpoint that a dataset contains patterns, just like it contains data.
  - That is, just like we can query a database for its content (database query), we should be able to query it for patterns and models (inductive query), and store them in the database.

Entities that appear in the process of data analysis (such as datasets, models, experimental settings) can have different nature.

Different database systems might be appropriate for different types of entities:
- Example: the same database system might not be appropriate for storing experimental settings/results and for storing predictive models.
- Predictive models have dual nature, they can be seen as data objects and as functions.

A large number of database systems have been used as backend of existing RDF management systems:
- relational database management systems (e.g., MySQL, Oracle, etc)
- NoSQL systems (e.g., MongoDB, Neo4J, Apache Jena TDB, RDF4J)

Task of identifying the adequate database system to use (e.g., Relational or NoSQL)
Relevant ontological representations for machine learning and data mining

- Variety of ontologies, vocabularies and schemes
- OntoDM-core, OntoDT, OntoDM-KDD: a set of modular ontologies in the context of a general framework for data mining [Džeroski, 2006]
- Exposè [Vanschoren and Soldatova, 2010] and MEX vocabulary [Esteves et al., 2015]: representations of machine learning experiments
  - Exposè reuses parts of EXPO-ontology for representing general experiments [Soldatova and King, 2006]
- DMOP [Keet et al., 2015]: meta-mining of data mining workflows
- ML-schema [Publio et al., 2019]: an initiative to harmonize the developed ontologies and produce a lightweight schema, to be used for semantic annotation of ML experiments

Machine Learning Schema Community Group. URL: https://www.w3.org/community/ml-schema/
OntoDM:
a set of modular ontologies for data mining

- Built using best practices from biomedical domains [Smith et al., 2007]
- Complementary to and integrated with state-of-the-art ontologies for representing scientific knowledge
  - Interoperability with other resources
  - Allows for cross-domain reasoning
- Three modules: OntoDT, OntoDM-core, OntoDM-KDD

Generic ontology of datatypes - OntoDT

- Mid-level ontology
- Based on ISO/IEC 11404
- Can support a wide range of applications
- Datatypes are very important in data mining
  - Characterize the types of data contained in a dataset
  - Applicability of a data mining task on data from a given datatype
  - Applicability of a data mining algorithm on a dataset

Panov et al. (2016) "Generic ontology of datatypes", *Information sciences* 329:900-920
Examples of different datatypes in data mining

• Unlabeled data - only descriptive part
  • Feature-based data example (\([\text{tuple of primitives}]\))
  • Transactional data example (\({\text{set of discrete}}\))

• Labeled data - both descriptive and output parts
  • Feature-based data example with primitive output
    • (\([\text{tuple of primitives}],\text{real}\))
    • (\([\text{tuple of primitives}],\text{boolean}\))
    • (\([\text{tuple of primitives}],\text{discrete}\))
  • Feature-based data example with structured output
    • (\([\text{tuple of primitives}],\text{tuple of reals}\))
    • (\([\text{tuple of primitives}],\text{tuple of discrete}\))
    • (\([\text{tuple of primitives}],\{\text{set of discrete}\}\))
    • (\([\text{tuple of primitives}],(\text{sequence of real})\))
    • (\([\text{tuple of primitives}],\text{tree with boolean edges and discrete nodes}\))
    • (\([\text{tuple of primitives}],\text{DAG with boolean edges and discrete nodes}\))
Example of dataset taxonomy constructed using OntoDT

\[
([\text{tuple of primitives}], [\text{tuple of reals}])
\]

\[
([\text{tuple of primitives}], \text{discrete})
\]
Ontology of core data mining entities - OntoDM-core

- Based on a proposal for a general framework for data mining [Džeroski, 2006]

- OntoDM-core describes the most essential data mining entities [Panov et al., 2014]
  - Data specification
  - Dataset
  - Data mining task
  - Data mining algorithm
  - Generalizations (patterns, models)

- Taxonomies of datasets, data mining tasks, generalizations, data mining algorithms based on the type of data.

P. Panov et al. (2014) "Ontology of core data mining entities“ Data mining and knowledge discovery 28(5-6):1222-1265
OntoDM-core structure

Data

- dataset and dataset specification
- data example
- DM-dataset
  - is-about
- dataset specification
- data mining task
  - has part
- descriptive data specification
- output data specification
- mapping specification
- OntoDT: datatype

Task

- data mining algorithm
  - IAO: document
    - has part
  - IAO: action specification
    - is-concretization-of (is-realized-by)
  - data mining algorithm implementation
    - role-of
      - data mining operator
      - parameter
        - quality
        - has-information
        - is-specified-output-of
    - agent role
      - OBI: computer
      - realizes
  - data mining software
    - parameter
      - parameter specification
        - has-quality
        - role-of
          - data mining operator
      - parameter setting
        - quality
        - has-information
    - data mining software toolkit
      - has-part
  - s-concretization-of

Outputs

- generalization specification
  - has-part
  - generalization language
- generalization representation
- DM-dataset
  - has specified output
  - generalization execution
    - has specified input
  - DM-dataset
Representation of complex analysis tasks

- Extensions of OntoDM core in different dimensions
  1. Structured output prediction
  2. Streaming setting
  3. Semi-supervised learning setting
  4. Network context

- Examples of tasks
  - Semi-supervised online structured output prediction
  - Semi-supervised structured output prediction in a network context
How do we represent complex tasks?

• Representation of the different dimensions at the level of datatype
• Here we used the ontology of datatypes OntoDT
  • Datatype descriptions are directly connected to the tasks
• Examples:
  • **semi-supervised multi-target regression**
    ([tuple of primitives], choice([tuple of reals], void))
  • **semi-supervised multi-target regression on data streams**
    sequence(([tuple of primitives], choice([tuple of reals], void)))

• In the same way we represented the tasks of learning with missing data in the context of all dimensions. For example:
  • **semi-supervised multi-label classification with missing data**
    ([tuple of choice(primitive, void)], choice(set{discrete}, void))

• The task representations are further used to characterize algorithms that solve the tasks
OntoDM-KDD

- Ontology for representing the knowledge discovery (KD) process [Panov et al., 2013]
- Based on the Cross Industry Standard Process for Data Mining (CRISP-DM)
- Most essential entities for describing data mining investigations
  - A taxonomy of KD specific actions and processes
  - Specifications of inputs and outputs of processes

Panov, et al. (2013) "OntoDM-KDD : ontology for representing the knowledge discovery process", 16th International Conference on Discovery science (DS 2013), Lecture notes in computer science vol. 8140, pg 126-140
IMPERATRIX project

- **IMPERATRIX project**: Improving Reproducibility of Experiments and Reusability of Research Outputs in Complex Data Analysis

- Slovenian national basic research project
  - 3 year project (2018-2021)
  - Financed by the Slovenian Research Agency
  - Value: 300K Eur

- **Main objective**: to improve the repeatability and reusability of data, experiments and outputs of experiments (data, models) in complex data analysis and moving towards a FAIR data analysis process.
  - Develop a prototype of a modular system for executing complex data analysis experiments, and semantically annotating, storing, querying and reusing results of experiments

- The project is combining approaches and ideas from the areas of complex data analytics, ontologies for science, semantic web and inductive databases

Project URL: [http://kt.ijs.si/pance_panov/imperatrix/indexEN.html](http://kt.ijs.si/pance_panov/imperatrix/indexEN.html)
Project objectives

- To design, implement and populate ontologies for complex data analysis to be used for semantic annotation
- To design and implement a prototype system for storing and querying semantically annotated data, experiments and models
- To test the querying capabilities of the prototype system in various scenarios
- To test the developed system in different use-case scenarios from various domains, such as machine learning, life sciences, space research and chemoinformatics
Vision for the IMPERATRIX prototype system
Current work: Reproducibility and reusability in the domain of dynamical systems modeling

- Storing metadata about models of dynamical systems in a machine readable form is one of the key steps towards their accessibility and reusability

- In the domain of process-based modeling of dynamical systems, the task is to construct an explanatory model of a dynamical system from domain knowledge and data expressed

- Ontology for Process-Based Modeling of Dynamical Systems (OntoPBM) [Kostovska et al., 2019]
  - Vocabulary of key terms about the process-based modeling paradigm
  - To capture the domain-specific characteristics, we extend OntoPBM with domain specific terms
  - The ontology is used for annotation of datasets, experiments and models in this context

Prototype system

- Ontology-based prototype system for annotation, storage and querying of process-based models, datasets and experiments [Tolovski, 2019]
  - The annotations for each experimental instance and produced model are stored in an RDF triple store.
  - We can execute SPARQL queries on facts asserted in the annotations, as well as facts inferred from the domain knowledge encoded in the ontology.

- Applications
  - System identification and control engineering – Modeling of the two tanks system
  - Ecological modeling – Aquatic ecosystem modeling

Tolovski et al. (2019) Towards reusable process-based models of dynamical systems: A case study in the domain of aquatic ecosystems. In proceedings of MIPRO 2019, Opatija, Croatia (To appear)
Outline of the system prototype

Query process-based models

Choose dataset:
- BledData2000\_data

Choose model entity:
- Select Entities

Choose model process:
- Light\_time
- Growth\_rate
- Run

Models | Train error | Test error | Validation error | Error function
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
BledIncomplete\_128 | 0.644 | 0.664 | 0.934 | RMSE
BledIncomplete\_128 | 0.701 | 0.730 | 0.721 | RMSE
BledIncomplete\_128 | 0.705 | 0.67 | 0.689 | RMSE
BledIncomplete\_128 | 0.720 | 1.203 | 1.071 | RMSE
BledIncomplete\_128 | 0.724 | 0.902 | 0.747 | RMSE
BledIncomplete\_128 | 0.729 | 0.939 | 0.789 | RMSE
BledIncomplete\_128 | 0.733 | 0.918 | 0.955 | RMSE
BledIncomplete\_128 | 0.735 | 0.902 | 0.897 | RMSE
BledIncomplete\_128 | 0.737 | 0.871 | 0.963 | RMSE
BledIncomplete\_128 | 0.742 | 0.876 | 1.142 | RMSE

RDF storage | RDF retrieval

Results
Summary

- Reproducibility
  - Defining minimal information about a performed experiment and the produced research outputs
  - Development of best practices for documenting of research activities
- Reusable research outputs
  - FAIR data principles
  - Adding semantics to data objects: use of semantic web technologies
- Improving reproducibility and reusability in complex data analysis
  - Use of semantic representations in the domain of data analysis
  - IMPERATRIX project
  - Reproducible experiments and reusable models in the domain of dynamical systems modeling