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On August 25, earthquake in Napa (California) woke people up

- The number of awake people was proportional to earthquake magnitude
- It was also exponentially decreasing with time, like in a Poisson process
- So.. can social media dynamics be modeled as a physical process?
Our goals:

- Constructing robust model of publication dynamics
- Extracting event importance by only observing the volume
- Correlating impacting events to sentiment shifts

Reconstructing event properties is difficult task:

- Events importance is masked by the trend and overlapping events
- Beginnings of events and their true longitudes are not observable
- Events may have different dynamics in different sources / topics
Method Highlights

- We model social- and news media as a dynamic system, taking events as input and outputting news publications.

- Output volume of publications depends on the shape of media response function $mrf(t)$, different for various events.
- The output of the system is modeled as the convolution between events importance series \( e(t) \) and media response function \( mrf(t) \).
- Events importance \( e(t) \) is modeled as piecewise linear function: events have \( buildup / decay \) rates, \( longitude \) and \( importance \).
- We reconstruct \( e(t) \) and its parameters by deconvolution of \( n(t) \).
We evaluate the three media response functions:

- **linear response** decays in a finite time
- **exponential response**'s decay is proportional to volume
- **hyperbolic response**'s decay is proportional to squared volume
**Media Response Functions**

**Linear**
\[
\frac{2}{\omega^2 \tau_0} (1 - e^{-j\omega \tau_0}) + \frac{2}{j\omega \tau_0}
\]

**Hyperbolic**
\[
\Gamma(1 - \alpha, j\omega \tau_0)(\alpha - 1)(j\omega \tau_0)^{\alpha - 1} e^{j\omega \tau_0}
\]

**Exponential**
\[
\frac{1}{1 + j\omega \tau_0}
\]

The Convolution Theorem allows to deconvolve in the frequency domain:

\[
\mathcal{F}\{n(t)\} = \mathcal{F}\{e(t) * mr f(t)\} = \mathcal{F}\{e(t)\} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{mr f(t)\}
\]

\[
e(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{e(\omega)\} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{n(\omega)/mr f(\omega)\}
\]
Our method reconstructs events importance, longitude and delay:

- It automatically extracts peaks by tracking the derivative and volume
- For every peak, the descending slope is analyzed using regression
- Model's parameters are obtained by averaging peaks parameters
- Following deconvolution, events are represented as linear shapes
Experimental Setup

**Meme dataset (Leskovec et al. 2009)**
contains social media phrases during US elections (Aug 2008 - Apr 2009)
- We used volume time series of top 100 memes from the dataset
- We processed volume using the granularity of 1/6 day with smoothing

**Twitter dataset**
contains US tweets extracted for the period (Jul 2009 - Dec 2009)
- We extracted 30 time series for most impacting events during that period
- We processed the data using the granularity of 1/4 day and smoothing

---

We measured the accuracy of our models for these media as follows:

1. For every volume time series, we extracted peaks and \( mrf \) parameters
2. We deconvolved volume and approximated event importance as triangular
3. We convolved events series back, measuring RMSE versus the original
We compared accuracy of our model and meme model (Leskovec et al.)

- Deconvolution parameters were fixed ($\tau=0.8$, $\alpha=2.0$) for all time series
- Meme model’s parameters were fitted using linear regression per peak
- Meme model demonstrated the error of 0.22±0.09
- Deconvolution model demonstrated the error of 0.11±0.09
We observe good fitness of linear and hyperbolic response models

- The average error of linear model was $0.03 \pm 0.06$ ($\tau = 0.5$ day)
- Hyperbolic model had almost equal error of $0.05 \pm 0.06$ ($\tau = 0.4$, $\alpha = 2.8$)
- Exponential model demonstrated worse error of $0.11 \pm 0.09$ ($\tau = 0.4$)
In Twitter dataset, the linear model demonstrated the best performance

- The average error of linear model was 0.06±0.06 (τ = 2.5±0.9 day)
- Hyperbolic model had higher error of 0.09±0.07 (τ = 1.3, α = 2.4)
- Exponential model demonstrated the worst error of 0.10±0.07 (τ = 1.5)
Correlation Evaluation - Method

We use Cosine and Jackard similarity to measure correlation:

\[
\rho(s, n, \delta) = \frac{|S_{t+\delta} \cap N_t|}{|S_{t+\delta}| \cdot |N_t|} \quad \text{Cosine}
\]

\[
\rho(s, n, \delta) = \frac{|S_{t+\delta} \cap N_t|}{|S_{t+\delta} \cup N_t|} \quad \text{Jackard}
\]

Time lag \(\delta\) is adjusted until the correlation becomes the largest:

\[
\Delta = \arg \max \delta \left[ \rho(s, n, \delta) \right]
\]
## Correlation Evaluation - Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Num</th>
<th>Contradiction</th>
<th>PosVolume</th>
<th>NegVolume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hangover</td>
<td>lin</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.68 0.0</td>
<td>0.65 0.0</td>
<td>0.60 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice Age</td>
<td>exp</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.58 0.6</td>
<td>0.66 -1.0</td>
<td>0.65 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry Potter</td>
<td>exp</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.42 1.7</td>
<td>0.57 0.0</td>
<td>0.45 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCROSS</td>
<td>hyp</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.65 -0.9</td>
<td>0.73 -1.5</td>
<td>0.70 -1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERN LHC</td>
<td>hyp</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.68 1.0</td>
<td>0.61 1.0</td>
<td>0.54 -1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA</td>
<td>hyp</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.67 -1.5</td>
<td>0.72 1.5</td>
<td>0.74 -1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Jackson</td>
<td>hyp</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.54 -1.3</td>
<td>0.49 1.5</td>
<td>0.51 -1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eclipse</td>
<td>hyp</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.67 -1.4</td>
<td>0.42 2.1</td>
<td>0.50 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swine Flu</td>
<td>exp</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.58 0.6</td>
<td>0.59 0.0</td>
<td>0.56 -0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barack Obama</td>
<td>hyp</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.60 -1.6</td>
<td>0.55 0.0</td>
<td>0.47 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran Election</td>
<td>hyp</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.51 -0.5</td>
<td>0.47 0.0</td>
<td>0.53 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>hyp</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.61 -1.6</td>
<td>0.58 -1.6</td>
<td>0.74 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow Friday</td>
<td>lin</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.83 -1.3</td>
<td>0.62 0.7</td>
<td>0.75 -1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Aquila Summit&quot;</td>
<td>exp</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.79 0.5</td>
<td>0.83 0.9</td>
<td>0.96 -0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Beer Summit&quot;</td>
<td>hyp</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.71 0.8</td>
<td>0.62 0.9</td>
<td>0.71 -0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformers</td>
<td>exp</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.56 -1.8</td>
<td>0.58 0.6</td>
<td>0.51 -1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>hyp</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.60 -1.2</td>
<td>0.50 0.8</td>
<td>0.56 -1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TwitterPeek</td>
<td>hyp</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.72 -1.3</td>
<td>0.72 0.0</td>
<td>0.77 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leica</td>
<td>hyp</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.70 0.0</td>
<td>0.60 -1.7</td>
<td>0.80 -0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gmail</td>
<td>hyp</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.71 0.6</td>
<td>0.55 -1.9</td>
<td>0.67 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super Bowl</td>
<td>lin</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.71 0.0</td>
<td>0.53 -1.6</td>
<td>0.71 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Wave</td>
<td>hyp</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.50 0.5</td>
<td>0.67 -1.0</td>
<td>0.51 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Questions are welcome!
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