

A Second-order Bound with Excess Losses

Pierre Gaillard^{1,2} Gilles Stoltz² Tim van Erven³

¹EDF R&D, Clamart, France

²GREGHEC: HEC Paris – CNRS, Jouy-en-Josas, France

³Leiden University, the Netherlands

June 14, 2014

Setting of prediction with expert advice

In each round t

- the learner makes a prediction by choosing a vector $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_t = (\hat{p}_{1,t}, \dots, \hat{p}_{K,t})$ of non-negative weights that sum to one
- every expert $k \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ incurs loss $\ell_{k,t} \in [0, 1]$
- the learner's loss is $\hat{\ell}_t = \hat{\mathbf{p}}_t \cdot \boldsymbol{\ell}_t$ $\left(= \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{p}_{k,t} \ell_{k,t} \right)$

The goal of the learner is to control his cumulative loss, which he can do by controlling his cumulative regret against any expert k :

$$R_{k,T} \triangleq \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})$$

Regret bounds

Worst-case:

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{T \ln K}$$

Improvement for small losses [Cesa-Bianchi and Lugosi, 2006]:

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{L_{k,T} \ln K} \quad \text{where } L_{k,T} = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_{k,t}$$

Second-order [Cesa-Bianchi et al, 2007, Hazan and Kale, 2011]:

- $R_{k,T} \leq (\ln K)/\eta + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_{k,t}^2$ but no method to optimize η

- $R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T v_t}$ where $v_t = \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{p}_{k,t} (\ell_t - \ell_{k,t})^2$

Our contribution: new second-order bound in terms of **excess losses**:

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2}$$

Regret bounds

Worst-case:

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{T \ln K}$$

Improvement for small losses [Cesa-Bianchi and Lugosi, 2006]:

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{L_{k,T} \ln K} \quad \text{where } L_{k,T} = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_{k,t}$$

Second-order [Cesa-Bianchi et al, 2007, Hazan and Kale, 2011]:

- $R_{k,T} \leq (\ln K)/\eta + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_{k,t}^2$ but no method to optimize η

- $R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T v_t}$ where $v_t = \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{p}_{k,t} (\ell_t - \ell_{k,t})^2$

Our contribution: new second-order bound in terms of **excess losses**:

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2}$$

Regret bounds

Worst-case:

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{T \ln K}$$

Improvement for small losses [Cesa-Bianchi and Lugosi, 2006]:

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{L_{k,T} \ln K} \quad \text{where } L_{k,T} = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_{k,t}$$

Second-order [Cesa-Bianchi et al, 2007, Hazan and Kale, 2011]:

- $R_{k,T} \leq (\ln K)/\eta + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_{k,t}^2$ but no method to optimize η

- $R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T v_t}$ where $v_t = \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{p}_{k,t} (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2$

Our contribution: new second-order bound in terms of **excess losses**:

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2}$$

Regret bounds

Worst-case:

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{T \ln K}$$

Improvement for small losses [Cesa-Bianchi and Lugosi, 2006]:

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{L_{k,T} \ln K} \quad \text{where } L_{k,T} = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_{k,t}$$

Second-order [Cesa-Bianchi et al, 2007, Hazan and Kale, 2011]:

- $R_{k,T} \leq (\ln K)/\eta + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_{k,t}^2 \rightarrow \cancel{R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_{k,t}^2}}$

- $R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T v_t}$ where $v_t = \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{p}_{k,t} (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2$

Our contribution: new second-order bound in terms of **excess losses**:

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2}$$

Regret bounds

Worst-case:

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{T \ln K}$$

Improvement for small losses [Cesa-Bianchi and Lugosi, 2006]:

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{L_{k,T} \ln K} \quad \text{where } L_{k,T} = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_{k,t}$$

Second-order [Cesa-Bianchi et al, 2007, Hazan and Kale, 2011]:

- $R_{k,T} \leq (\ln K)/\eta + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_{k,t}^2 \rightarrow \cancel{R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_{k,t}^2}}$

- $R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T v_t}$ where $v_t = \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{p}_{k,t} (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2$

Our contribution: new second-order bound in terms of **excess losses**:

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2}$$

Regret bounds

Worst-case:

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{T \ln K}$$

Improvement for small losses [Cesa-Bianchi and Lugosi, 2006]:

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{L_{k,T} \ln K} \quad \text{where } L_{k,T} = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_{k,t}$$

Second-order [Cesa-Bianchi et al, 2007, Hazan and Kale, 2011]:

- $R_{k,T} \leq (\ln K)/\eta + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_{k,t}^2 \rightarrow \cancel{R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_{k,t}^2}}$

- $R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T v_t}$ where $v_t = \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{p}_{k,t} (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2$

Our contribution: new second-order bound in terms of **excess losses**:

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2}$$

A Second-order Bound with Excess Losses

We provide a third form of second-order bound

$$R_{K,T} \leq \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2}. \quad (1)$$

Features of the bound: bounds of form (1) entail

- optimal scaling in the setting of experts reporting confidences [Blum and Mansour, 2007].
- improvement for small excess losses.
- constant regret in the special case of i.i.d. losses [Van Erven et al., 2011].
- probabilistic bounds on the cumulative predictive risk [Wintenberger, 2014].

Key element in the analysis: consider **multiple learning rates** [Blum and Mansour, 2007] and develop tuning techniques that go with it.

The Prod forecaster

[Cesa-Bianchi et al, 2007]

Parameter: $\eta > 0$ Initialization: $\mathbf{w}_0 = (1/K, \dots, 1/K)$ For each round $t = 1, 2, \dots$

- assign to each expert k the weight $\hat{p}_{k,t} = w_{k,t-1} / \left(\sum_j w_{j,t-1} \right)$
- for each expert k perform the update

$$w_{k,t} = w_{k,t-1} \left(1 + \eta (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t}) \right)$$

If $\eta \leq 1/2$ and $\ell_t \in [0, 1]^K$, the cumulative regret is bounded as

$$R_{k,T} \leq \frac{\ln K}{\eta} + \eta \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2$$

Prod with multiple learning rates (ML-Prod)

Parameters: $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_K > 0$

Initialization: $\mathbf{w}_0 = (1/K, \dots, 1/K)$

For each round $t = 1, 2, \dots$

- assign to each expert k the weight $\hat{p}_{k,t} = \eta_k w_{k,t-1} / \left(\sum_j \eta_j w_{j,t-1} \right)$
- for each expert k perform the update

$$w_{k,t} = w_{k,t-1} \left(1 + \eta_k (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t}) \right)$$

If $\eta_k \leq 1/2$ and $\ell_t \in [0, 1]^K$, the cumulative regret is bounded as

$$R_{k,T} \leq \frac{\ln K}{\eta_k} + \eta_k \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2$$

Prod with multiple learning rates (ML-Prod)

Parameters: $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_K > 0$

Initialization: $\mathbf{w}_0 = (1/K, \dots, 1/K)$

For each round $t = 1, 2, \dots$

- assign to each expert k the weight $\hat{p}_{k,t} = \eta_k w_{k,t-1} / \left(\sum_j \eta_j w_{j,t-1} \right)$
- for each expert k perform the update

$$w_{k,t} = w_{k,t-1} \left(1 + \eta_k (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t}) \right)$$

If we could optimize $\eta_k = \sqrt{\ln K / \sum_t (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2}$

$$R_{k,T} \leq 2 \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2}$$

The learning rates can be calibrated online at the multiplicative cost

□ $\ln \ln T$ in the regret bound.

Improvement for small excess losses

If a strategy satisfies a bound of the form

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T (\widehat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2} + \square$$

Then, if $\ell_t \in [0, 1]^K$, it also satisfies

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t: \ell_{k,t} \geq \widehat{\ell}_t} (\ell_{k,t} - \widehat{\ell}_t)} + \square$$

This bound is invariant by translation of the losses and implies the improvement for small losses $R_{k,T} \leq O\left(\sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_t \ell_{k,t}}\right)$.

Experts that report their confidence

[Blum and Mansour, 2007]

In each round $t = 1, \dots, T$

- each expert k expresses his **confidence** as a number $I_{k,t} \in [0, 1]$
- the learner makes a prediction by choosing a vector $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_t = (\hat{p}_{1,t}, \dots, \hat{p}_{K,t})$ of non-negative weights that sum to one
- every expert k incurs loss $\ell_{k,t} \in [0, 1]$
- the learner's loss is $\hat{\ell}_t = \hat{\mathbf{p}}_t \cdot \boldsymbol{\ell}_t$ $\left(= \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{p}_{k,t} \ell_{k,t} \right)$

The learner aims at minimizing his **confidence regret** simultaneously for all experts

$$R_{k,T}^c = \sum_{t=1}^T I_{k,t} (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})$$

The special case $I_{k,t} = 0$ expresses that expert k is inactive in round t .

Experts that report their confidence

[Blum and Mansour, 2007]

In each round $t = 1, \dots, T$

- each expert k expresses his **confidence** as a number $I_{k,t} \in [0, 1]$
- the learner makes a prediction by choosing a vector $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_t = (\hat{p}_{1,t}, \dots, \hat{p}_{K,t})$ of non-negative weights that sum to one
- every expert k incurs loss $\ell_{k,t} \in [0, 1]$
- the learner's loss is $\hat{\ell}_t = \hat{\mathbf{p}}_t \cdot \boldsymbol{\ell}_t \quad \left(= \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{p}_{k,t} \ell_{k,t} \right)$

The best available stated bound [Blum and Mansour, 2007] is

$$R_{k,T}^c = \sum_{t=1}^T I_{k,t} (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t}) \leq \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T I_{k,t} \ell_{k,t}}$$

Application to experts that report their confidence

If a strategy satisfies a standard regret bound of the form

$$R_{k,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2} + \square$$

Then, if $\ell_t \in [0, 1]^K$, applying the strategy on the modified losses

$$\tilde{\ell}_{k,t} = I_{k,t} \ell_{k,t} + (1 - I_{k,t}) \ell_{k,t},$$

leads to an algorithm with a confidence regret bound of the form

$$R_{k,T}^c \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T I_{k,t}^2 (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2} + \square \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T I_{k,t}^2} + \square \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Stochastic (i.i.d.) losses

We now turn to a stochastic setting considered by [Van Erven et al, 2011] where the loss vectors are identically distributed.

Assumption [Van Erven et al, 2011]

The loss vectors $\ell_t \in [0, 1]^K$ are independent random variables such that there exists an expert k^* and some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$\forall t \geq 1, \quad \min_{k \neq k^*} \mathbb{E}[\ell_{k,t} - \ell_{k^*,t}] \geq \alpha.$$

If some strategy satisfies

$$R_{k^*,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k^*,t})^2} + \square$$

Then

- $\mathbb{E}[R_{k^*,T}] \leq \square \frac{\ln K}{\alpha}$
- For any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, with probability at least $1 - \delta$

$$R_{k^*,T} \leq \square \frac{\ln K}{\alpha} + \frac{\square}{\alpha} \sqrt{\ln \frac{\square}{\delta} \ln K}$$

Application to cumulative risk

[Wintenberger, 2014]

Some additional results were obtained recently by [Wintenberger, 2014]

- extends the analysis to exponential updates;
- proves that deterministic second-order bounds in excess losses imply bounds on **cumulative risk** in a quite general stochastic setting.

Summary

We provide a new form of second-order bound

$$R_{K,T} \leq \square \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T (\ell_{k,t} - \hat{\ell}_t)^2} + \square$$

with several desirable features:

- in the setting of experts reporting confidences
- improvement for small excess losses
- constant regret for i.i.d. losses
- probabilistic bound on cumulative risk

Thank you !

Adaptive version of ML-Prod

Parameters: a rule to pick $\eta_{k,t}$ online

Initialization: $\mathbf{w}_0 = (1/K, \dots, 1/K)$

For each round $t = 1, 2, \dots$

- assign to each expert k the weight $p_{k,t} \propto \eta_{k,t-1} \mathbf{w}_{k,t-1}$
- for each expert k perform the update

$$\mathbf{w}_{k,t} = \left(\mathbf{w}_{k,t-1} \left(1 + \eta_{k,t-1} (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t}) \right) \right)^{\frac{\eta_{k,t}}{\eta_{k,t-1}}}$$

If $0 \leq \eta_{k,t} \leq 1/2$, $(\eta_{k,t})$ is non-increasing in t and $\ell_t \in [0, 1]^K$,

$$R_{k,T} \leq \frac{\ln K}{\eta_{k,0}} + \sum_{t=1}^T \eta_{k,t-1} (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2 + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\eta_{k,T}} \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{k'=1}^K \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\frac{\eta_{k',t-1}}{\eta_{k',t}} - 1 \right) \right)}_{\text{Cost of tuning multiple learning rates}}$$

Adaptive version of ML-Prod

Parameters: a rule to pick $\eta_{k,t}$ online

Initialization: $\mathbf{w}_0 = (1/K, \dots, 1/K)$

For each round $t = 1, 2, \dots$

- assign to each expert k the weight $\rho_{k,t} \propto \eta_{k,t-1} \mathbf{w}_{k,t-1}$
- for each expert k perform the update

$$\mathbf{w}_{k,t} = \left(\mathbf{w}_{k,t-1} \left(1 + \eta_{k,t-1} (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t}) \right) \right)^{\frac{\eta_{k,t}}{\eta_{k,t-1}}}$$

With learning rates, for $t \geq 1$,

$$\eta_{k,t-1} = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \sqrt{\frac{\ln K}{1 + \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} (\hat{\ell}_s - \ell_{k,s})^2}} \right\},$$

the cumulative regret is bounded simultaneously for all expert k as

$$R_{k,T} = O \left(\ln K + \sqrt{(\ln K) \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_{k,t})^2 \ln \ln T} \right),$$