

# NONPARAMETRIC MIXTURES OF MULTI- OUTPUT HETEROSCEDASTIC GAUSSIAN PROCESSES FOR VOLATILITY MODELING

Emmanouil A. Platanios  
Sotirios P. Chatzis

# CONCEPT

- Nonparametric Bayesian method for multivariate volatility modeling (important in econometrics for example)
- Dynamic covariance matrices modeling for high-dimensional vector-valued observations using Gaussian processes
- Existing methods make implausible assumptions:
  - Consider constant (noise) variance in their prior configuration => Fail to capture the dynamic nature of the variance in the modeled data
  - Consider zero correlation between the components of the modeled vector-valued outputs => Neglect significant covariance structure in the modeled data

# PROPOSED APPROACH

- We:
  - Develop a hierarchical Bayesian model for heteroscedastic Gaussian process regression
  - Noise covariance is considered a separate observation-driven Gaussian process
  - Use a convolved kernel to allow for capturing the covariance structure in the output variables of the model
- Still, Gaussian process modeling requires data that can be described sufficiently well under the Gaussian assumption

# PROPOSED APPROACH

- Unrealistic in volatility modeling in econometrics: heavy-tailed and skewed data with power-law behavior
- To attack this shortcoming, we resort to Bayesian non-parametrics:
  - We postulate a Pitman-Yor process prior over the space of heteroscedastic Gaussian processes
    - Generates a (theoretically) infinite number of component GP models, with input variables in the whole input space considered each time
  - Efficient inference by means of truncated variational Bayes

# MODEL FORMULATION

Input vector:  $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x}_1^T, \mathbf{x}_2^T, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N^T]^T$

Output vector:  $\mathbf{y} = [\mathbf{y}_1^T, \mathbf{y}_2^T, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N^T]^T$  where  $\mathbf{y}_n = [y_1(\mathbf{x}_n), y_2(\mathbf{x}_n), \dots, y_M(\mathbf{x}_n)]^T$

We assume that:  $y_m(\mathbf{x}_n) = f_m^c(\mathbf{x}_n) + r_m^c(\mathbf{x}_n), \quad \forall m = 1, 2, \dots, M$

and:  $r_m^c(\mathbf{x}_n) = e^{g_m^c(\mathbf{x}_n)}$

We introduce the notation:  $\mathbf{r}^c = [\mathbf{r}_1^{cT}, \mathbf{r}_2^{cT}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N^{cT}]^T$  and  $\mathbf{r}_n^c = [r_1^c(\mathbf{x}_n), r_2^c(\mathbf{x}_n), \dots, r_M^c(\mathbf{x}_n)]^T$

Then, the definition of our model comprises the assumptions:

$$p(\mathbf{y}_n | \mathbf{x}_n, z_{nc} = 1) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}_n | \mathbf{f}_n^c, \mathbf{R}_n^c)$$

$$p(\mathbf{f}^c | \mathbf{x}, \phi) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{f}^c | \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{K}_{f,f}^c)$$

$$p(\mathbf{g}^c | \mathbf{x}; \theta) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{g}^c | \mu_0^c \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{K}_{g,g}^c)$$

Where we denote:  $\mathbf{R}_n^c = \text{diag}(\mathbf{r}_n^c)$ ,  $\mathbf{g}^c = [\mathbf{g}_1^{cT}, \mathbf{g}_2^{cT}, \dots, \mathbf{g}_N^{cT}]^T$ ,  $\mathbf{g}_n^c = [g_1^c(\mathbf{x}_n), g_2^c(\mathbf{x}_n), \dots, g_M^c(\mathbf{x}_n)]^T$ ,  $\mathbf{f}^c = [\mathbf{f}_1^{cT}, \mathbf{f}_2^{cT}, \dots, \mathbf{f}_N^{cT}]^T$  and  $\mathbf{f}_n^c = [f_1^c(\mathbf{x}_n), f_2^c(\mathbf{x}_n), \dots, f_M^c(\mathbf{x}_n)]^T$

# MODEL FORMULATION

In the above equations (an equivalent expression exists for  $\mathbf{K}_{g,g}^c$ ):

$$\{\mathbf{K}_{f,f}\}_{(m-1) \times N+n, (s-1) \times N+l} = \text{Cov}\{f_m(\mathbf{x}_n), f_s(\mathbf{x}_l)\}$$

Where:

$$\text{Cov}\{f_m(\mathbf{x}_n), f_s(\mathbf{x}_l)\} = \sum_{r=1}^R \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k_{nr}^{f_m}(\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{z}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k_{lr}^{f_s}(\mathbf{x}_l - \mathbf{z}') k_{u_r, u_r}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}') d\mathbf{z}' d\mathbf{z}$$

And the  $k(\cdot, \cdot)$  are autoregressive kernels of order one

Finally, for the variables  $z_{nc}$  we use a Pitman-Yor process prior, under a stick-breaking construction:

$$p(z_{nc} = 1 | \mathbf{v}) = \pi_c(\mathbf{v})$$

$$\pi_c(\mathbf{v}) = v_c \prod_{j=1}^{c-1} (1 - v_j) \in [0, 1]$$

$$p(v_c | \alpha) = \text{Beta}(1 - \delta, \alpha + \delta c)$$

We conduct inference for our model using the variational Bayesian paradigm, which results in simple and efficient predictive posterior expressions, by introducing a truncation threshold  $C$ , such that  $\pi_c(\mathbf{v}) = 0 \forall c > C$

# EXPERIMENTS

- Forecasting in financial return time series
- Asset return at time  $t$ ,  $y(t)$ , is defined as the one-step differential of the price  $p(t)$  of an asset, i.e.  $y(t) \triangleq p(t) - p(t-1)$ , while volatility is defined as the standard deviation of a financial return series at time instant  $t$  given the information available at time  $t-1$
- We work with the Global Large-Cap Equity Indices of the period 1998-2003, available in the Econometrics Toolbox of MATLAB
- This dataset comprises daily return series for  $M = 6$  assets over a 5-year period
- We postulate our model with its input driven by the one-step-back asset return values  $\mathbf{y}(t-1) = [y_m(t-1)]_{m=1}^M$ , and its output comprising the return series  $\mathbf{y}(t)$
- To remain consistent with the existing literature, we adopt the typical assumption of zero-mean return series, setting  $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0}$  in our model
- We conduct model training over windows of 120 days; this procedure is repeated every 7 days. In each case, prediction is performed one, seven, and 30 days ahead. As our performance metric, we use the MSE between the predicted volatility and (i) the **squared returns**, and (ii) the **squared standard deviation** over the employed sliding windows

# EXPERIMENTS - RESULTS

Squared returns MSE performance obtained by the evaluated methods

| Method                      | 1-day                 | 7-day                 | 30-day                |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| VHGP                        | $9.87 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.01 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.02 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Proposed Approach: $C = 5$  | $4.79 \times 10^{-7}$ | $4.62 \times 10^{-7}$ | $4.87 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| Proposed Approach: $C = 10$ | $3.78 \times 10^{-7}$ | $3.65 \times 10^{-7}$ | $3.93 \times 10^{-7}$ |

Sliding window variance MSE performance obtained by the evaluated methods

| Method                      | 1-day                 | 7-day                 | 30-day                |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| VHGP                        | $1.28 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.27 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.23 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Proposed Approach: $C = 5$  | $4.54 \times 10^{-7}$ | $4.20 \times 10^{-7}$ | $4.17 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| Proposed Approach: $C = 10$ | $2.22 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.99 \times 10^{-7}$ | $2.08 \times 10^{-7}$ |



**THANK YOU**

Emmanouil A. Platanios  
Sotirios P. Chatzis

# VARIATIONAL BAYES UPDATE EQUATIONS

The variational free energy of the model reads (ignoring constant terms):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(q) = & \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{m=1}^M \int d\mathbf{f}_m^c q(\mathbf{f}_m^c) \log \frac{p(\mathbf{f}_m^c | \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{K}_{f,f}^c)}{q(\mathbf{f}_m^c)} + \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{m=1}^M \int d\mathbf{g}_m^c q(\mathbf{g}_m^c) \log \frac{p(\mathbf{g}_m^c | \mu_0^c \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{K}_{g,g}^c)}{q(\mathbf{g}_m^c)} \\ & + \sum_{c=1}^{C-1} \int d\alpha q(\alpha) \int dv_c q(v_c) \log \frac{p(v_c | \alpha)}{q(v_c)} + \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N q(z_{nc} = 1) \\ & \left\{ \int d\mathbf{v} q(\mathbf{v}) \log p(z_{nc} = 1 | \mathbf{v}) - \log q(z_{nc} = 1) + \sum_{m=1}^M \int d\mathbf{f}_m^c d\mathbf{g}_m^c q(\mathbf{f}_m^c) q(\mathbf{g}_m^c) \log p(y_{nd} | \mathbf{f}_m^c(\mathbf{x}_n), \mathbf{R}_n^c) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

# VARIATIONAL BAYES UPDATE EQUATIONS

1. Regarding the PYP stick-breaking variables  $v_c$ , we have:

$$q(v_c) = \text{Beta}(v_c | \beta_{c,1}, \beta_{c,2})$$

where:

$$\beta_{c,1} = 1 - \delta + \sum_{n=1}^N q(z_{nc} = 1)$$

$$\beta_{c,2} = \langle \alpha \rangle + c\delta + \sum_{c'=c+1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N q(z_{nc'} = 1)$$

2. Regarding the posteriors over the latent functions  $f_n^c$ , we have:

$$q(f_m^c) = \mathcal{N}(f_m^c | \mu_m^c, \Sigma_m^c)$$

where:

$$\Sigma_m^c = \left( K_{f,f}^c^{-1} + B_m^c \right)^{-1}$$

$$\mu_m^c = \Sigma_m^c B_m^c y_m$$

$$B_m^c \triangleq \text{diag} \left( \left[ \frac{1}{\langle R_n^c \rangle} q(z_{nc} = 1) \right]_{n=1}^N \right)$$

# VARIATIONAL BAYES UPDATE EQUATIONS

3. Similarly, regarding the posteriors over the latent noise variance processes  $\mathbf{g}_m^c$ , we have:

$$q(\mathbf{g}_m^c) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{g}_m^c | \mathbf{m}_m^c, \mathbf{S}_m^c)$$

where:

$$\mathbf{S}_m^c = (\mathbf{K}_{g,g}^c)^{-1} + \Lambda_m^c)^{-1}$$
$$\mathbf{m}_m^c = \mathbf{K}_{g,g}^c \left( \Lambda_m^c + \frac{1}{2} \text{diag}([q(z_{nc} = 1)]_{n=1}^N) \right) \mathbf{1} + \mu_0^c \mathbf{1}$$

and  $\Lambda_m^c$  is a positive semi-definite diagonal matrix, whose components comprise variational parameters that can be freely set

4. Finally, the posteriors over the latent variables  $Z$  yield:

$$q(z_{nc} = 1) \propto \exp(\langle \log \pi_c(\mathbf{v}) \rangle) \exp(r_{nc})$$

where:

$$\langle \log \pi_c(\mathbf{v}) \rangle = \sum_{c'=1}^{c-1} \langle \log(1 - v_{c'}) \rangle + \langle \log v_c \rangle$$

and:

$$r_{nc} \triangleq -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{d=1}^D \left\{ \frac{1}{\langle \mathbf{R}_n^c \rangle} [(\mathbf{y}_{nm} - [\mathbf{m}_m^c]_n)^2 + [\Sigma_m^c]_{nn}] + [\mathbf{m}_m^c]_n \right\}$$