## Large-Scale Learning and Inference: What We Have Learned with Markov Logic Networks

## Pedro Domingos

Dept. of Computer Science & Eng.

University of Washington

Joint work with Jesse Davis, Stanley Kok, Daniel Lowd, Hoifung Poon, Matt Richardson, Parag Singla, Marc Sumner

## Markov Logic Networks

- Basic idea: Use first-order logic to compactly specify large non-i.i.d. models
- MLN = Set of formulas with weights
- Formula = Feature template (Vars→Objects)

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(\sum_{i} w_{i} n_{i}(x)\right)$$
  
Weight of formula *i* No. of true instances of formula *i* in *x*



# MLN Algorithms: The First Three Generations

Problem	First generation	Second generation	Third generation
MAP	Weighted satisfiability	Lazy	Cutting
inference		inference	planes
Marginal	Gibbs	MC-SAT	Lifted
inference	sampling		inference
Weight	Pseudo-	Voted	Scaled conj.
Iearning	likelihood	perceptron	gradient
Structure	Inductive	ILP + PL	Clustering + pathfinding
learning	logic progr.	(etc.)	



## Weighted Satisfiability



- **SAT:** Find truth assignment that makes all formulas (clauses) true
  - Huge amount of research on this problem
  - State of the art: Millions of vars/clauses in minutes
- **MaxSAT:** Make as many clauses true as possible
- Weighted MaxSAT: Clauses have weights; maximize satisfied weight
- MAP inference in MLNs is just weighted MaxSAT
- Best current solver: MaxWalkSAT

## Lazy Inference



- In most domains, most atoms (random variables) are false
  - E.G: Friends(x,y)
- As a result, most clauses are trivially true
  E.g.: smokes(x) ^ Friends(x,y) => Smokes(y)
- Materialize only atoms and clauses with non-default values
- Start with evidence and extend lazily
- Vastly reduces time and memory



# **Cutting Plane Inference**

- Basic idea:
  - Solve problem with small subset of constraints
  - Add violated constraints and repeat
  - Redundant constraints  $\rightarrow$  Small fraction suffices
- In Markov logic, violated constraints are false clauses ( = true conjunctions)
- Use database queries to efficiently find them
- Applicable with any base solver, not just LP
- Much more scalable than Sontag & Jaakkola (2008)



### MC-SAT



- Deterministic dependences break MCMC
- In practice, even strong probabilistic ones do

#### Swendsen-Wang:

- Introduce aux. vars. u to represent constraints among x
- Alternately sample u | x and x | u.
- But Swendsen-Wang only works for Ising models
- MC-SAT: Generalize S-W to arbitrary clauses
- Uses SAT solver to sample **x** | **u**.
- Orders of magnitude faster than Gibbs sampling, etc.

### **Lifted Inference**



- Consider belief propagation (BP)
- Often in large problems, many nodes are interchangeable:

They send and receive the same messages throughout BP

- Basic idea: Group them into supernodes, forming lifted network
- Smaller network  $\rightarrow$  Faster inference









# Forming the Lifted Network

#### **1.** Form initial supernodes

One per predicate and truth value (true, false, unknown)

- 2. Form superfeatures by doing joins of their supernodes
- 3. Form supernodes by projecting superfeatures down to their predicates Supernode = Groundings of a predicate with same number of projections from each superfeature
- 4. Repeat until convergence

## **Weight Learning**



- Pseudo-likelihood + L-BFGS is fast and robust but can give poor inference results
- Voted perceptron:
  Gradient descent + MAP inference
- Problem: Multiple modes
  - Not alleviated by contrastive divergence
  - Alleviated by MC-SAT
  - Start each MC-SAT run at previous end state

## Weight Learning (contd.)



Problem: Extreme ill-conditioning



- Solvable by quasi-Newton, conjugate gradient, etc.
- But line searches require exact inference
- Stochastic gradient not applicable because data not i.i.d.
- Solution: Scaled conjugate gradient
- Use Hessian to choose step size
- Compute quadratic form inside MC-SAT
- Use inverse diagonal Hessian as preconditioner

## **Structure Learning**



- Standard inductive logic programming optimizes the wrong thing
- But can be used to overgenerate for L1 pruning
- Our approach:
  ILP + Pseudo-likelihood + Structure priors
- For each candidate structure change: Start from current weights & relax convergence
- Use subsampling to compute sufficient statistics
- Search methods: Beam, shortest-first, etc.

## **Clustering + Pathfinding**



- Standard search methods generate a huge number of useless candidates
   Customer (IBM)
- Relational pathfinding:
  Find paths of true atoms between objects & generalize them
   BofA Works for Anna Friends?
- Relational clustering: Cluster objects with similar relations to similar objects
- Clustering + Pathfinding: Cluster objects, find paths between clusters & extract rules

## **MLNs: The Next Generation**



- Weighted model counting
- Compilation to arithmetic circuits/BDDs/etc.
- Approximate compilation
- Generalized, approximate, incremental lifting
- Coarse-to-fine inference and learning
- Bottom-up learning of MLN structure
- Learning tractable high-treewidth MLNs
- Learning from data streams
- Etc.

### Resources



- Open-source software/Web site: Alchemy
  - Learning and inference algorithms
  - Tutorials, manuals, etc.
  - MLNs, datasets, etc.
  - Publications

#### alchemy.cs.washington.edu

 Book: Domingos & Lowd, *Markov Logic*, Morgan & Claypool, 2009.