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• The South African dictionary landscape is populated by both printed and online dictionaries.
• Online dictionaries are the default tools for certain user groups but for the majority of dictionary users and potential dictionary users, printed dictionaries currently remain the only lexicographic resources at their disposal.
• Lexicographers and metalexicographers need to embark on exciting endeavours to promote the transition to online dictionaries and the continued improvement of these lexicographic products.
• They also have to formulate new models to enhance the quality of printed dictionaries.
• South Africa has eleven official national languages.
• All these languages are protected by the constitution.
• In practice they are not treated or used in an equal way.
• English dominates as lingua franca but also as language of the higher functions.
• Afrikaans, also due to support during the previous political era, is a fully standardised language that can be used at all levels of general and scientific communication.
• Due to, among others, the previous political landscape, the nine indigenous Bantu languages have not had the same support and do not show the same extent of development, especially in the domain of languages for special purposes.

• These differences between the languages are also evident in the dictionary landscape.
• Wiegand (1998, p. 506) refers to a knowledgeable user ("ein kundiger Benutzer") and identifies some features of such a user, but also of what he calls a non-knowledgeable user ("ein unkundiger Benutzer").

• These features include the familiarity, or lack thereof, of the user regarding the use of a dictionary – and the knowledge or non-knowledge such a user has of a specific dictionary.

• The lack of sufficient knowledgeable users still prevents achieving an optimal dictionary landscape in South Africa.
• Knowledgeable and non-knowledgeable dictionary users
• Knowledgeable and non-knowledgeable lexicographers.
• Knowledgeable lexicographers have the skills and proficiency to plan and compile dictionaries that respond to the expectations, the lexicographic needs, and the reference skills of the target user.
• These skills and this knowledge needed by a lexicographer will not necessarily be the same when working in a monolingual compared to a multilingual society.
• In lexicographic research a lot of attention had been given to user studies.
• Lexicographer studies have not attracted enough attention.
• To what extent are the lexicographers in a multilingual and multicultural environment able to respond to the real lexicographic needs of diverse user groups – also within a single language?
• This is a relevant question within the South African dictionary landscape.
• The dictionary landscape is determined by:
  - the available dictionaries
  - the dictionary culture
  - the dictionary users
  - the lexicographers
• Primary participants in establishing the landscape.
• A comprehensive dictionary culture demands that both lexicography and society need to adapt so that better dictionaries can be compiled and be used in an optimal way.
• Lexicographers should be instrumental in making data available to the target users and these users need to be proficient to execute a successful dictionary consultation.
• This could help to ensure a better dictionary landscape.
• Bilingual dictionaries are typical bridges in a multilingual society and in this bridging function linguistic, cultural and pragmatic features come into play.

• It is important that a lexicographer, especially in a multilingual environment, should adopt a comparative approach that takes cognizance of users from different speech communities.
• Although bilingual dictionaries are the primary bridges in multilingual societies one should never underestimate the bridging value of monolingual dictionaries – provided, that they have been planned and compiled for a very specific situation of use.

• In this regard lexicographers can take guidance from the work of AS Hornby
  - *Idiomatic and Syntactic English Dictionary* (1942)
  - *A Learner's Dictionary of Current English* (1948)
• This first learner’s dictionary was a monolingual product.
• In the preface Hornby indicated that the dictionary had been compiled to meet the needs of foreign students of English.
• A major feature of this dictionary was its clearly defined target user, i.e., the Japanese learner of English.
• The learner in Hornby’s learner’s dictionary could be clearly identified as a Japanese learner of English as a foreign language.

• This approach of working with such a well-defined target user does not always prevail in the modern-day practice of many monolingual learner’s dictionaries.

• Monolingual learner’s dictionaries are typically compiled for learners of the specific language as a foreign language, but the native language of the target user is usually not specified.
• For a broad international market like that of the major English learner’s dictionaries a general approach is in order because these dictionaries are not directed at target users from one specific language.

• In a multilingual country where dictionaries are bridges between members of the different speech communities more attention should be given to a more precise specification of the intended target users.

• Too often too little is known of the learners using these learner’s dictionaries and this has definite implications for the success of this type of dictionary as a practical instrument.
• A dictionary that is too general cannot optimally suffice in a multilingual environment.

• Although it is commercially not viable to have a separate monolingual dictionary of, say Afrikaans, for each of the other South African languages, a single monolingual dictionary can present a generic approach complemented in either the articles or the outer texts by data directed at specific other languages.

• In an online dictionary this can be achieved more easily.
When deciding on the way in which the native language of a user should play a role in the lexicographic presentation and treatment of a monolingual learner’s dictionary the lexicographer needs to negotiate a variety of issues:

- the structure of the language;
- the relation between the object language and the language of the user;
- the culture of the speakers of the target language;
- the culture of the speakers of the native language;
- similarities and differences between the two languages.

In a multilingual and multicultural environment these considerations are even more compelling.
Bilingual dictionaries have a high usage frequency in multilingual societies and as practical instruments they play a significant role in the promotion of interlingual communication.

These dictionaries should not only provide linguistic assistance but should also enhance a mutual understanding of different cultures.

In this regard, the South African dictionary landscape has recently been enriched with excellent bilingual dictionaries, especially school dictionaries, with English as one of the treated languages.
• By enriching the dictionary landscape with school dictionaries, the foundation is laid for a process of life-long dictionary use.

• A continuum of dictionaries is needed – from the first to the last school year.

• The introduction of good school dictionaries in South Africa helps to avoid future lexicographic lost generations.
• Bilingualised dictionaries play an important bridging role in the South African dictionary landscape.
• Enhancing interlingual communication is not only done within a single dictionary but also by means of a series of dictionaries functioning as an interactive dictionary portal.
• Maskew Miller Longman published a series of foundation phase dictionaries (in the South African school system “foundation phase” refers to the first three formal school years).
• The series includes dictionaries for Afrikaans, Northern Sotho, Tswana, Xhosa and Zulu.
• Monolingual dictionaries with a bilingual dimension.
• Compiled for mother-tongue speakers of the specific language.
• Each dictionary article also contains an English translation equivalent as well as an English translation of the example sentence given to support the paraphrase of meaning.
toebroodjie
(toebroodjies) sandwich
’n Toebroodjie is twee
snye brood met iets in die
middel.
Ek het ’n toebroodjie met
kaas en tamatie vir middagete
gëet. / I had a sandwich with
cheese and tomato for lunch.
• The back matter section of each dictionary in this series contains two alphabetical word lists.
• The first list includes all the words entered as lemmata in the central list with their English equivalents and a page number where the source language word is treated.
• The second word list has English equivalents from the central list as source language items with the lemma from the primary language of the dictionary as equivalent, along with the page number where the item from the primary language is treated.
• Each dictionary in this series is poly-accessible – either via the central list or via the back matter texts with their alphabetically ordered word lists presenting the two languages of the dictionary.

• Primarily monolingual – the paraphrase of meaning is only given in the source language of the central list.

• Also be regarded as bilingualised dictionaries due to the presence of the English translation equivalents, example sentences and back matter word lists.
• Each dictionary plays an important role in promoting the source language in combination with English as the lingua franca.
• The dictionary series promotes multilingualism.
• To enhance interlingual communication all the dictionaries in this series show a comparable lemma selection.
• The lexical items presented in one of the monolingual English dictionaries of the publisher was used as basis for the macrostructural selection of all the dictionaries.
• These English words had been translated into the different languages and these equivalents were entered as lemmata in the respective dictionaries.
• Due to cultural and linguistic reasons some minor adaptations were made in the different dictionaries but to a large extent they display a comparable lemma selection.
• The bridging does not only prevail between English and each one of the other languages individually.

• A user can move from the primary language of anyone of these dictionaries with English as bridging language to any of the other languages.

• The Xhosa dictionary offers English equivalents by means of which a Xhosa user can move from Xhosa to the English equivalent.

• Then to the back matter text English-Tswana in the Tswana dictionary to finally reach the Tswana word that is an equivalent of the Xhosa word with which the search commenced.
• The comprehensive data distribution structure with the dictionary portal as a search domain and each individual dictionary as a search region, allows a retrieval of information from all the languages of the series.

• This is a way of expanding the dictionary landscape by increasing the number of dictionaries available but also by elevating the communicative potential of the multilingual South African society.

• Once these dictionaries are made available in online format the interlingual linking will be almost effortless.
• Within a multilingual environment bilingualised dictionaries or monolingual dictionaries with a bilingual dimension can be complemented by monolingualised dictionaries or bilingual dictionaries with a monolingual dimension.
• In a linguistically diverse society it is important to have dictionaries that can
  - account for the lexicographic needs of the members of each speech community;
  - guide the primary target users to other languages;
  - and provide users from other languages access to the primary language of the dictionary.
• A dictionary that achieves exactly this purpose is the *Greater Dictionary of isiXhosa*.
• Three-volume dictionary trilingual dictionary with a strong monolingual dimension.
• The treatment has been enhanced by the inclusion of items usually only associated with monolingual dictionaries.
• Each page displays partial article stretches spread over three columns, with columns for English and Afrikaans running parallel to that of the Xhosa column.
uku-tyákátyá nnz/v (dlul/perf -tyákâtyílè, -tyákâtyé; nzn/rec ukutyakatyáñâ, nzk/met-pot ukutyakatyékâ; nzl/ap ukutyakatyélâ; nzs/caus ukutyakatyísâ; nzw/pass ukutyakatywâ):  
1 ukusika-sika, ukubenga-benga, ukutyanda-tyanda (into ethambileyo njengenyama, iblayi letolo yi, njl):  

uqale wasityakatyâ isihlunu senyama waza wasibeka emalahleni:

2 ukunquna, ukubenga (umlu wenyama):

3 ukubetha kakhulu ngemvubu okanye isabhekhlwe ukuze kubekeho imivumbo emininzi emibi; ukutywa-tyusha, ukuxathula; ukubenga-benga, ukutyanda-tyanda (umuntu okanye isiwlwanyana):

4 ukukhenketha, ukutyhutyha (indawo, ilali, ilizwe):

dityakatyâ ilali ezintathu ndifuna ingcibi yokwakha:

5 ukumgxbha, ukumqwenga, ukukrazula ngamazwi (umuntu); ukumunikwena:

6 ukuthetha kakubi ngesimilo somnye; ukuhleba, ukungcikiva, ukunyelisa, ukunyembenza:

1 slash (something soft, e.g. meat, prickly pear cladode, etc) with deep transverse gashes without dividing it completely into smaller pieces:  
he made a number of deep transverse cuts in the piece of meat and then placed it on the coals;

2 cut up, divide (a carcass) into the various cuts or joints;

3 stab, slash (a person or animal) all over the body, leaving him covered with blood; flog severely causing numerous lacerations;

4 traverse, travel about all over (a place or country), e.g. in search of something or on business:  
I hunted all over three areas of the district looking for a good builder;

5 scold, rebuke, revile, berate, swear at;

6 slander, calumniate, malign, vilify, speak evil of, sully a person’s character.

1 (jiets sags en vlesigs, bv ’n stuk vleis; turksvyblad, ens) oopvlek deur diepe snye daarin te maak:  
hy het die stuk vleis eers oopgevlek en toe op die kole geplaas;

2 (’n karkas) uitmekaarmaak, verde in die verskeie dele;

3 (’n mens of dier) oor die hele liggaar steek- of snywonde toedien; slaan die oop hale le;

4 (’n area) deurkruis, bv op soek iets:

ek het aldrie wyke van die distrik plaas loop op soek na ’n goeie bouer;

5 (iemand) streng berispe, uitter slegsê, met die tong kasty;

6 slegmaak, beswadder, beskind kwaadspreek van.
• This article structure resembles what Wiegand/Feinauer/Gouws (2013, p. 328) call a tabular block article.
• It differs, however, because each block is not an article but only a partial article because only the Xhosa block has a lemma sign.
• It can be regarded as a blocked article consisting of three partial blocks.
• The Xhosa column contains a partial block that could function as a fully-fledged article in a monolingual dictionary.
• This partial block satisfies the minimum criteria of a basic article because it has its own comment on form and a comment on semantics.
• For each lemma the treatment in the first partial block is executed by means of Xhosa items, as could be expected in a monolingual dictionary.

• The second and third columns contain partial blocks presenting partial articles that consist only of a comment on semantics containing the respective English and Afrikaans equivalents or translations of the Xhosa paraphrases of meaning as well as example sentences in articles where the Xhosa section has example sentences.
The outer access structure of the central list of this dictionary has a single search route that guides a user to the Xhosa lemma sign.

The search route of the inner access structure guides a user to the items in the Xhosa search zones and then to the subsequent horizontally ordered English and Afrikaans partial articles.

The specific article structure of this dictionary is not for metalexicographic cosmetic reasons, but it is motivated by the relation between lexicography and society.

This dictionary equips its primary target user group with more than a mere knowledge of their own language.

It also enhances interlingual communication.
• Lexicographic products are not only bridges between the official languages of South Africa but are also employed to promote minority languages.

• The bridging contribution of lexicography is not restricted to traditional bilingual dictionaries.

• Wiegand (2013, p. 285) refers to printed utility tools with formal properties of lexicographic nature.

• This is also seen in the South African lexicographic landscape.
Innovative endeavours, e.g., where the lexicographic work is complemented in a single source with other forms of language material result in a product that can be regarded as a dictionary.

One such example is found in N|uu, one of the few surviving non-Bantu click languages in Southern Africa and one of the most endangered languages on the continent.
• Efforts are currently made by a few of its remaining speakers to teach N|uu to descendants of the original speech community.

• Lexicography comes to the help again – an illustrated trilingual N|uu-Afrikaans-English reader:
Ouma Geelmeid ke kx’u
||xa||xa N|uu

Ouma Geelmeid gee N|uu
Ouma Geelmeid teaches N|uu

Sheena Shah and Matthias Brenzinger

In samewerking met / In collaboration with Katrina Isau, Claudia Du Plessis and Mary-Ann Frits

CALDi, University of Cape Town
• Not in the first instance a dictionary, but a reader.
• It contains texts that present lexical, phonetic, orthographic and syntactic documentation of this endangered language.
• It is divided into chapters in which words and expressions from a number of different thematic fields are presented, along with illustrations.
• In these thematic sections a variety of words and expressions are given in N|uu with translations into Afrikaans and English.
• According to the authors “The contents of the reader and also the format are tailored towards the community needs in the N|uu teaching and learning efforts” (Shah/Brenzinger 2016, p. 10).

• By giving the expressions the reader adheres to a text production and translation function.

• The pictures satisfy a text reception and cognitive function.
The significance of this publication becomes clear when one is familiar with the linguistic situation in South Africa and the need to protect the endangered language of a part of society of which most of the members are illiterate.

The target users of this book are descendants of the N|uu speech community.

The genuine purpose of this reader is to “help students to learn to read and write N|uu, and even more importantly, to speak the language” (Shah/Brenzinger 2016, p. 10).

As can be seen in the following figures from the central list N|uu is the source language with Afrikaans and English as languages in which equivalents and translations are given.
Na n|ii n|a ng ts’axam.
Ek sien met my oë.
I see with my eyes.

A !qha o n|a a n|uku yu.
Jy ruik met jou neus.
You smell with your nose.

Kua ǃxoa n|a ku kyu.
Hy praat met sy mond.
He speaks with his mouth.
Na ≠xoa N|uu.
Ek praat N|uu. / I speak N|uu.

Na ||’aa n|ng.
Ek gaan huistoe. / I go home.

Na !xama Ñoe.
Ek kook vleis. / I cook meat.

Na âi !am n|a Ñoe.
Ek eet pap en vleis. / I eat pap and meat.

Na kx’âi !qhaa.
Ek drink water. / I drink water.

Na !’anu!’anu ng kamersi.
Ek maak my kamer skoon. / I clean my bedroom.

Na ||’ng Ñun.
Ek gaan slaap. / I go to sleep.
• The lexicographic component is explicitly realised in two glossaries, N|uu-Afrikaans-English and Afrikaans-N|uu-English, presented as the final texts in this carrier of text types.
!qoarasi  !qoara  kortbeenboesmangras (deur skape gevreet; droë kort gras word gebruik om vuur mee te maak)

!qoeke  om hande te klap
!qunsch  motréén
!qui  !quiike  as

!QH  !qhaa  water
!qhaa  langs (iemand, iets)

!qhaxux  ka !qhaxuke  plaas
!qhâisi  ka !qhâi  spoor van diere of voetspoor van mense

!qhao  om (iets) te ruik
!qhobasi  !qhobake  hoodia (plant)
!qhoeke  ka !qhoeke  leeu
!qhúia  om vet te wees

!X’  !x’am  dagga
!x’aru  ka !x’aru  jagluiperd
!x’oa  ka !x’oa  volstruiskuiken
!x’uuke  ka !x’uu  voet

†  †ama  bruin

small grass (eaten by sheep; used to make fire when dry)
to clap hands
drizzle
ash
water
be next to (someone, something)
farm
footprint, spoor, track (e.g. of animals and people)
to smell (something)
hoodia (plant)
lion
be fat
marijuana
cheetah
ostrich chick
foot
brown
• The selection and ordering of the second and third languages in this reader and of the source language in the glossaries is not randomly done.
• Afrikaans is the first language of most of the target users and for them the N|uu words and expressions are readily accessible via Afrikaans.
• This dictionary offers a bridge from the known (Afrikaans) to the unknown (N|uu) and a basic treatment of the N|uu items.
• The more advanced user can eventually use the main access structure as constituted by the access route of the N|uu source language items.
• Given the multilingual environment the users are also presented with the relevant English equivalents.

• Within a specific linguistic landscape this dictionary responds to the specific multilingual communication and cognitive situation of its intended target user.

• The structure and contents of this dictionary look quite simple, but this simplicity results from the execution of a well-devised plan to promote language use as well as the coordination of an endangered language and two official languages.

• In addition, the dictionary landscape is expanded.

• Such a lexicographic approach is important in a multilingual society.
The question that should dominate all decisions regarding the contents of a dictionary is: “What do I want my user to be able to do with the dictionary?”

It should also determine whether a lexicographer adopts a prescriptive, descriptive or proscriptive approach when it comes to the selection of items to be included in any given dictionary.
• Dictionaries focusing on a presentation and treatment of the language for general purposes for a general target user group, should avoid a dyke function that prohibits the inclusion of items that belong to the subject matter of the specific dictionary.

• These dykes could be of a linguistic, ideological, or cultural nature or could merely reflect the personal bias of the lexicographer.
• In a multilingual society language contact is a normal phenomenon that occurs on a daily basis.
• In their reflection of the actual language usage lexicographers have to take cognizance of the results of this contact and, depending on the type of dictionary they compile and the genuine purpose of that dictionary, they have to plan the way in which their dictionaries should negotiate this.
• The dictionary landscape of a country like South Africa should bear witness of the linguistic realities and the fact that no language in this society exists in isolation.
• One can easily underestimate the extent of the influence of language contact with languages not only borrowing words from other languages but also lending words to other languages.

• Where there is a dominant language or lingua franca in a multilingual society, that language will often be the exporting language.
• In South Africa all the other ten official languages contain a variety of loanwords from English.
• However, dictionaries also show the extent to which South African English has not only exported to but has borrowed from other languages.
• A Dictionary of South African English on Historical Principles (Silva 1996) gives ample proof of the way in which South African English has been influenced by the other South African languages.
• The lemma selection of this dictionary is restricted to borrowings from the other South African languages.

• From a linguistic perspective this dictionary acts as a bridge that displays the results of language contact with each borrowed form functioning as a minuscule communication bridge between English and one of the other languages.
• Part of the bridging assignment of dictionaries is to include established loan forms to ensure the best possible interlingual comprehension.

• A too strong prescriptive approach, often motivated by misplaced linguistic purism or language nationalism, results in a dictionary becoming a dyke that isolates the dictionary from the surrounding language use – and from the speakers of that language.

• In the early decades of the previous century Afrikaans had to establish itself as a national language alongside the world language English.
• Although Afrikaans and English functioned together and a bidirectional influence existed, linguists and lexicographers tried to rid Afrikaans as far as possible from English influence.

• Employing a strong prescriptive approach many direct translations from English as well as English loan words were excluded from the dictionaries in spite of their occurrence in daily communication.

• In bilingual dictionaries with Afrikaans and English as language pair, these Anglicisms were replaced by Dutchisms that portrayed artificial and non-natural language use in Afrikaans.
• Typical Afrikaans words like *geboortemerk* (birth mark), *boekmerk* (bookmark), *rughand* (backhand) were excluded because they are direct loan translations from English.
• In their place the Dutch forms *moedervlek* and *boeklêer* and the unnatural form *handrug* were included.
• These substituting forms were not part of the active Afrikaans language use, and their inclusion diminished the representativeness of the dictionaries.
• Fortunately, things have changed.
• A more descriptive approach and an acknowledgement of the naturalness of language contact and the inevitable inclusion of loan forms and loan translations as well as the emergence of representative corpora helped to remove many dykes from the South African dictionary landscape.

• Dykes are also created due to language-political issues, e.g., the standardisation process of a language with different dialects.

• A biased and one-sided standardisation process could form a dyke that prevents numerous forms from being considered for inclusion in a dictionary.
• This has also happened in the South African landscape.
• Mojela (2008, p. 119) discusses what he calls a “strict and narrow standardization” of Northern Sotho that resulted in the exclusion of many dialectal forms and that imposed a standard language on the speech community that was foreign to many of them.
• As a result, some dialects were stigmatized and regarded as inferior.
• This dyke, separating exclusion from inclusion, often does not have an objective linguistic motivation.
• Consequently, Mojela (2008, p. 129) believes that lexicographers are faced with the challenge of bridging the gap between the standard language and those dialects that had been stigmatized.

• Here dictionaries should not be dykes but rather bridges “in order to make the standard language acceptable to all the communities …”
One of the problems Mojela refers to is that some of the established corpora used by lexicographers did not include lexical items from the side-lined dialects.

These corpora strengthened the dyke and supported the exclusion of words frequently used by speakers of the inferior dialects.

This problem has been overcome in some of the more recent dictionaries, ensuring that their bridge function surpasses their dyke function.
• The metaphors of dictionaries as bridges, dykes and sluice gates do not only apply to the macrostructural coverage of a dictionary but can also be used with regard to procedures in the lexicographic process.

• *Sluice gates* can be interpreted in two ways: the opening of a sluice so that water can flow freely, or a type of lock in e.g., a river to manage the water flow and water level.

• Both these senses are relevant when using *sluice gates* as a metaphor in a discussion of dictionaries.
• Looking at dictionaries as bridges, the enriching value of language contact has already been identified – as well as the unfortunate puristic attempts to create dykes to prevent this influence.
In the development of monolingual dictionaries in Afrikaans the comprehensive multivolume *Woordeboek van die Afrikaanse taal* (Dictionary of the Afrikaans language) (WAT), has played a significant role – and is still playing that role.

This project was started in 1926 when there still was a lack of both other general monolingual Afrikaans dictionaries and Afrikaans special field dictionaries.

The comprehensiveness of a comprehensive dictionary prevails on at least three levels: the lexical items included for treatment, the data types allocated to each article and the extent of the treatment.
With regard to the lexical coverage and the extent of the treatment, the WAT opened the sluice gates.

As one can expect from a dictionary belonging to this typological category, it contains a comprehensive selection of lexical items from the general language.

In the absence of special field dictionaries many terms from a variety of subject fields that would not typically qualify for inclusion in a general language dictionary had been entered as lemmata.

This created a lexical data overload because the dictionary contained items that should not have been lemma candidates for a general monolingual dictionary.
• Although there still was a lack of special field dictionaries, a general dictionary was not the venue where interested users would look for these items.

• This lexical overflow was detrimental to the focus and the genuine purpose of the WAT and impeded its progress.

• Changes in the dictionary landscape and the emergence of a range of other Afrikaans dictionaries convinced the editors of the WAT to adjust their lemma selection policy to close the sluice gates for some items.
• Roughly during the period 1965-1985 the WAT, riding the wave of comprehensiveness, opened the sluice gates for certain types of data, especially data accommodated in the search zones for the paraphrases of meaning.
• An inflation of encyclopaedic data dominated these articles and impeded rapid access to the core data in these search zones.
• Another type of sluice gate was needed: a type of lock to manage the data flow and data level.
• In the WAT the appropriate data level was found by a balance between a flow of relevant and non-relevant data and the regulating value of lexicographic theory.
• Following a lot of criticism from linguists and metalexicographers, the editors of the WAT devised a new data distribution plan for the dictionary articles with clearly defined criteria for the nature and extent of data provided in the paraphrase of meaning.
• This presentation of data bridges a knowledge gap and successfully assists users in retrieving the necessary information without stumbling over non-relevant data.

• In this regard the WAT has become an example for monolingual lexicographic work in the other South African languages.
• In a multilingual country like South Africa that has English as a dominant language it is natural, predictable and acceptable to have English exporting words and expressions to other languages.

• A balance is required because a random opening of the lexical sluice gates can result in languages being flooded by unnecessary loan words.

• Yet again, dictionaries have to reflect the actual language use, but they could also provide guidance and even issue a warning when needed.
• A mere transliteration of English words often results in an increase of the loan word stock of the indigenous South African languages.
• This is in spite of the fact that the lexicons of these languages often do have appropriate words available.
• Loan words are accepted and welcomed but not to replace existing words and terms.
• Here the sluice gates need to be closed so that these languages can develop and offer their speech communities the option of expressing themselves in all spheres of life in their mother language.
• The Northern Sotho equivalent for the word aeroplane is sefofane (literally an object that flies).

• According to Makua (in preparation) some Northern Sotho speakers who are used to transliterating from English are using the form folaematšhene which is a borrowed term, a transliteration of flying machine.

• For a cell phone the transliteration selefoune has been used although Northern Sotho had already in the early years of mobile phones been enriched with its own word sellathekeng – “it cries/rings on the hips”.
• According to Hlungwane (in preparation) there is a need for African language dictionaries to provide their users with established forms in the language although they function alongside loan words and transliterations.

• The opening of unnecessary transliteration sluice gates should not endanger the lexical stock of a language.
• As authoritative sources dictionaries could show both the indigenous and the loan forms.
• Here lexicographers could adopt a proscriptive approach.
• Such an approach could imply that a dictionary presents both these forms, but the lexicographers express a preference – that should be based on linguistic and cultural priorities as well as corpus evidence.
• Dictionaries need to contribute to the development of a language, and this can also be achieved by sluice gates that increase lexicotainment.
• When it comes to the inclusion of neologisms in dictionaries there are criteria determining when the usage frequency of a given form justifies its inclusion as lemma in a general language dictionary.
• Significant deviations from the traditional inclusion policies of neologisms were witnessed regarding COVID-19 neologisms where an immediate lexicographic response was required, cf. some of the papers from the Globalex workshops on lexicography and neology (Klosa-Kückelhaus/Kernerman (in print)).
• In South Africa Afrikaans and the African languages need to expand their vocabularies.
• This is not only done by opening the sluice gates that allows borrowing from English but also by finding new words as non-borrowed translation equivalents for some English words.
• A couple of linguistic entrepreneurs in the educational environment proposed the idea of a dictionary with suggestions of new Afrikaans words for existing English forms.
• People were invited to submit their own neologisms and the *Wilde woordeboek* (Wild dictionary) (Van Niekerk, Basson and Grobler) entered the dictionary landscape.
• This dictionary was evidence of the innovative ideas of members of the Afrikaans speech community and showed the creative potential of the language and its contribution to the dictionary landscape.

• The *Wilde woordeboek* is a sluice gate that channelled linguistic creativity and enhanced the growth and development of Afrikaans.
In conclusion

• The dictionary landscape in the multilingual and multicultural South Africa is diverse and the lexicographic standard of the different languages is not equal and does not display a parallel development.
• However, a variety of dictionary types and innovative lexicographic projects in different languages offer numerous interlingual bridging and collaboration opportunities.
• Dictionaries also have a dyke and a sluice gate function that play a regulating role in the lexicographic presentation of linguistic forms.
• A major problem is the lack of a comprehensive dictionary culture.
• To solve this problem joint ventures by lexicography and society are needed.
• The better the dictionary culture, the better the dictionary landscape and the less cumbersome the bridging between different languages.
Baie dankie
Thank you very much
Vielen Dank