

Variational Optimisation by Marginal Matching

Neil D. Lawrence
neill@cs.man.ac.uk

7th December 2007

- Variational deconstruction of the log likelihood, $L = \log p(\mathbf{y})$

$$L = \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \log p(y_n | f_n) \rangle + \langle \log p(\mathbf{f}) \rangle - \langle \log q(\mathbf{f}) \rangle + \left\langle \log \frac{q(\mathbf{f})}{p(\mathbf{f} | \mathbf{y})} \right\rangle.$$

- Rewrite by introducing 'site functions', $\{t(f_n)\}_{n=1}^N$.

$$L = \sum_{n=1}^N \left\langle \log \frac{p(y_n | f_n)}{t(f_n)} \right\rangle + \left\langle \log \prod_{n=1}^N t(f_n) p(\mathbf{f}) \right\rangle - \langle \log q(\mathbf{f}) \rangle + \left\langle \log \frac{q(\mathbf{f})}{p(\mathbf{f} | \mathbf{y})} \right\rangle.$$

- If 'zeroth moment' of $q(f_n)$ and $\hat{p}(f_n)$ are matched we can write

$$L = \log \int \prod_{n=1}^N t(f_n) p(\mathbf{f}) d\mathbf{f} - \sum_{n=1}^N \text{KL}(q(f_n) || \hat{p}(f_n)) + \text{KL}(q(\mathbf{f}) || p(\mathbf{f} | \mathbf{y})).$$

- Dropping the last term gives us the variational lower bound on the likelihood,

$$\mathcal{L} = \log \int \prod_{n=1}^N t(f_n) p(\mathbf{f}) d\mathbf{f} - \sum_{n=1}^N \text{KL}(q(f_n) \parallel \hat{p}(f_n)).$$

- The negative EP energy is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{EP}} = \log \int \prod_{n=1}^N t(f_n) p(\mathbf{f}) d\mathbf{f}$$

so we also have a *lower bound on the negative EP energy*.

- The bound can be maximised with respect to the site functions, $t(f_n)$.

- Difference between truth and EP approximation is given by

$$\Delta_{\text{EP}} = L - L_{\text{EP}} = \text{KL}(q(\mathbf{f}) || p(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{y})) - \sum_{n=1}^N \text{KL}(q(f_n) || \hat{p}(f_n))$$

- Minima of these KL divergences *coincide!*
- Zero forcing is a problem though! e.g. Gaussian case and $p(y_n|f_n)$ is a step function.
 - 1 Perhaps EP is doing a much better job and *matching* these KL divergences.
 - 2 Can use EP energy in conjunction with variational methods. [1].

- [1] M. Kuss and C. E. Rasmussen. Assessing approximate inference for binary Gaussian process classification. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 6:1679–1704, 2005.